

CORVINUS UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST
UNIVERSITY COUNCIL OF STUDENT RESEARCH
SOCIETIES

The 2026–2027 CALL
FOR COMPETITION OF THE STUDENT
RESEARCH CONFERENCE (TDK) IN THE
AUTUMN SEMESTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. General information	2
II. Data to be provided when uploading the paper	5
III. Requirements concerning the supervisor	7
IV. Paper requirements	8
V. Criteria for evaluating papers	9
VI. Rules for launching, merging and splitting sections	11
VII. The oral round.....	12
VIII. Final ranking and awards.....	14
IX. Final provisions	16
X. ANNEXES.....	17
Annex 1: Formal requirements	18
Annex 2: Evaluation criteria.....	20
2a. Paper evaluation criteria	20
2b. Evaluation criteria for the conference presentation	22

The University Council of Student Research Societies (hereinafter: ETDT) of Corvinus University of Budapest (hereinafter: University) announces and regulates the Student Research Conference (TDK) of the 1st semester of the academic year 2026–2027 in accordance with the University’s TDK Regulations as follows.

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

The Student Research Conference (TDK) is a competition at Corvinus University of Budapest, the details and requirements of which are set out in this call. TDK creates a public forum for scholarly student work that goes beyond the curriculum. Students prepare their papers with the help of a supervisor. Papers are presented in sections, similarly to scientific conferences. Papers and presentations are evaluated by a professional jury based on the expectations and norms of scientific publications. The aim of the TDK is to promote the scientific and professional development of authors and to develop their scientific thinking and debating skills.

The conference is open only to students enrolled in **bachelor's, master's and undivided programmes** at universities in Hungary or at universities abroad that teach in Hungarian (including students with passive student status), provided that at the time of the TDK conference they have not yet obtained the pre-degree certificate (absolutorium) in their current programme and have not yet been admitted to a doctoral school.

The TDK is organised by ETDT in cooperation with the section secretaries and the TDK Office. As a rule, papers entered and accepted for the conference must be presented orally, in person, at the section session. In exceptional and justified cases, online presentation may also be permitted. The competent professional committee of ETDT decides on online presentation based on the request and justification submitted by the student, after consulting the management of the section.

Official TDK website: <https://www.uni-corvinus.hu/post/landing-page/corvinus-tdk/?lang=en>

ETDT contact: etdt@uni-corvinus.hu

Key dates and deadlines:

Deadline for submission of papers:	2 November 2026 (Monday) 23:59
Final section schedule:	6 November 2026 (Friday)
Announcement of the oral rounds:	25 November 2026 (Wednesday)
Oral rounds:	30 November – 4 December 2026 (Monday–Friday)
Award ceremony and closing reception:	15 December 2026 (Tuesday)

The TDK is organised into sections. Section secretaries shall be responsible for organising the sections. A section can have only one section secretary. The section secretary must be a member of the University community: either a doctoral student at one of the doctoral schools

of Corvinus University of Budapest or an employee in an academic position. The language of the TDK is primarily Hungarian, but at the institutional TDK of Corvinus University of Budapest, papers can be submitted in English as well as Hungarian, and oral presentations can be held in Hungarian and English, except in sections where ETDT determines the language of the section.

In semester 2026–2027/1, papers can be submitted to the following sections (all sections are bilingual until the submission deadline, but after the sections are split, ETDT may determine the language of certain sections):

1. Accounting and economic law
2. Agricultural economics
3. Behavioral economics
4. Business economics
5. Business studies
6. Digital marketing
7. Digital transformation
8. Economic modelling
9. Economic policy and macroeconomics
10. Entrepreneurship
11. Entrepreneurship development
12. ESG, sustainable production and consumption, CSR
13. Family business management
14. Finance
15. Geography, local development, geopolitics
16. HR and organization development
17. Institutions and economic behaviour
18. Intercultural marketing and consumer behavior
19. Leadership and organization, change management, AI in organizations
20. Logistics and supply chain management
21. Management of innovation and technology
22. Marketing, media and design communications
23. Microeconomics, competition policy
24. Philosophy
25. Project management
26. Public policy and public governance
27. Sports management

- 28. Strategy and international management
- 29. Theory and practice of decision-making
- 30. Tourism
- 31. Transport and mobility

II. DATA TO BE PROVIDED WHEN UPLOADING THE PAPER

Participation in the TDK requires uploading the paper and registering at the same time:

- a. The author (in the case of multi-author papers, only one of the authors) must upload the finished paper to the online platform accessible via the official TDK website.
- b. Authors who do not have student status at the University must send the following data to the TDK Secretariat by e-mail (etdt@uni-corvinus.hu) no later than midnight on 28 October (Wednesday):
 - 1.) student's name;
 - 2.) 11-digit education ID (oktatási azonosító);
 - 3.) the name of the higher education institution at which they are currently a student;
 - 4.) number of active semesters;
 - 5.) name of major;
 - 6.) type of programme (BSc / BA / MSc / MA / undivided);
 - 7.) e-mail address;
 - 8.) telephone number;
 - 9.) permanent address.

The application is only valid if the **paper is uploaded by 23:59 on 2 November (Monday)** and the submission includes all of the following:

- i. The finished paper, in line with this call.
- ii. The registering author's membership in a Corvinus college for advanced studies (szakkollégium), if any.
- iii. If applicable, the co-author(s) (a paper can have up to 3 authors, i.e. 2 co-authors).
- iv. The supervisor (in case of an external supervisor, their name, institution, department, position, e-mail address).
- v. The title and language of the paper.
- vi. An abstract summarising the content of the paper, of at least 500 and at most 2,500 characters, which meets the following requirements: the structure of the abstract should reflect the scientific nature of the paper, i.e. it should briefly summarise
 - (1) the scientific question examined,
 - (2) a description of the research methodology used, and
 - (3) a brief description of the author's own results (maximum 500 characters).¹

¹ The abstract must be submitted in only one language, which must be the same as the language of the paper.

- vii. The names of the sections that the author(s), after consultation with the supervisor, consider the best thematic fit for the paper. In addition to the primary section, a secondary and a tertiary section must also be specified. **The final section allocation may differ from these**; it is determined independently by ETDT based on professional and organisational criteria, considering the preferences of supervisors and students.

III. REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING THE SUPERVISOR

Participation in TDK requires consultations with a supervisor.

- a. A supervisor assists the student in preparing the paper. The supervisor must hold at least a master's degree (MA/MSc); preferably, the supervisor should be a university lecturer or researcher.
- b. A paper can have a maximum of 2 supervisors.
- c. In the case of an external (non-Corvinus) supervisor, we recommend appointing a second supervisor who teaches or conducts research at Corvinus University as an employee, a retired staff member or a doctoral student.
- d. The supervisor must be asked at the beginning of the research, and in any case before the paper is uploaded.
- e. The supervisor will receive an electronic notification of the upload and may reject it no later than 12:00 on 3 November 2026 (Tuesday). If the supervisor rejects it, the upload described in Chapter II becomes invalid.

IV. PAPER REQUIREMENTS

1. Papers entered for the TDK must be based on independent research that goes beyond the students' curricular obligations.
 - a. The language of the paper can be Hungarian or English.
 - b. Artificial intelligence may only be used for minor sub-tasks during the preparation of the paper (e.g. literature search, mapping certain topics, brainstorming, checking grammar and language use, etc.). Material that is partly or entirely the output of one (or more) AI tools may not be submitted as one's own work. If the Professional Committee can prove that the original text or research results of the paper are, to a significant extent, a product of artificial intelligence, the paper cannot be considered independent work.
 - c. The authors must make a mandatory declaration on their use of AI tools, and the completed declaration must be attached in the Annex, on the last page of the paper.
 - i. The AI use declaration, downloadable from the TDK website, must be completed in all cases before the paper is registered, indicating which tool(s) the authors used in preparing the paper and for what purpose.
 - ii. If several tools were used in several places and to different extents, it must be clearly indicated (in a footnote attached to the first sentence of the chapter, subchapter or paragraph concerned) in what capacity a given AI tool was used in preparing the given parts of the paper. These footnotes must also be summarised in the declaration attached in the Annex.
 - d. The paper must not have been published before, nor previously submitted anywhere as a master's thesis or TDK paper.
 - e. An improved version of a bachelor's thesis can be registered if it has not been published in another form (e.g. as an earlier TDK paper or a journal article). In this case, its background must be clearly indicated in the TDK paper on the page following the cover, together with the novelties of the substantial further development in terms of literature, methodology, research question, data collection or analysis.
 - f. The paper must contain the mandatory elements of scholarly work:
 - i. at the beginning of the paper, the introduction contains the scientific research question to be answered by the author;
 - ii. it explicitly states its research model and/or methodology;
 - iii. at the end, the paper answers the scientific question posed and summarises its scientifically new or novel results in the form of theses.

- g. The formal requirements for the paper are set out in *Annex I* to this call.
2. By submitting the paper, all authors accept the following:
- a. They accept the professional and ethical guidelines of the Corvinus TDK.
 - b. They are fully responsible for the originality (see Section IV.1) and content of the paper.
This responsibility applies equally to all authors.
 - c. The paper is original and is not identical to any paper by the same author(s) submitted to other sections or to another TDK conference, to a master's thesis submitted and defended, or to a publication that is forthcoming or already published.
 - d. The submitted paper is the final version of their work, which cannot be modified after submission.
 - e. After the submission deadline, the paper can be accessed by all participants and the academic staff concerned via the TDK electronic system.
 - f. The paper may only compete at the National Student Research Conference (OTDK) representing Corvinus University of Budapest (even if the author of the paper is a student of another higher education institution).

V. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING PAPERS

1. The written score of the paper is determined by two reviewers. The reviewers' evaluations shall be made in accordance with the evaluation sheet set out in *Annex 2a* and recorded electronically.
2. The section secretary selects and invites the reviewers. The following aspects shall be considered when inviting reviewers and preparing their evaluations:
 - a. At least one of the reviewers must be a lecturer or researcher at Corvinus University, either as an employee, a retired staff member or a doctoral student.
 - b. No reviewer may see the evaluation of another reviewer before submitting their own final evaluation.
 - c. Reviewers must be selected from experts in the relevant field (lecturers, researchers, practitioners). At least one of the reviewers must be a lecturer or researcher holding a doctoral degree.
 - d. The supervisor of a paper cannot be its reviewer. The section secretary may also act as a reviewer.
 - e. Reviewers have at least two weeks to prepare their evaluations. If the scores differ by 8 or more points (as set out in point V.3), the third evaluation required must be completed within 5 working days.
3. If the scores of the two reviewers differ by 8 points or more, the section secretary first tries to give the two reviewers the opportunity to discuss their arguments and, if appropriate, revise their evaluations. If the evaluations are not revised, or the difference of at least 8 points remains, a third reviewer – who has not yet evaluated the paper – must be invited. If there is a third reviewer, the written score of the paper is the sum of the two closest of the three scores. If there are two such pairs, the written score is the sum of the pair with the higher total.
4. The paper will be excluded from the TDK if the author(s) commit plagiarism, i.e. use someone else's work without proper citation, acknowledgement of the source and/or the author's permission, and present it as their own. Everyone has the right and the duty to notify the section secretary of suspected plagiarism. In the case of suspected plagiarism, plagiarism can only be established, with supporting evidence, by a three-member committee set up by ETDT for this purpose. The members of the committee are the section secretary concerned, the chair of the discipline-level council of student research societies (TDT) of the section and the ETDT secretary. If plagiarism is proven, the paper and all its authors shall be excluded from the competition.
5. A paper scoring below 36 points is not accepted.

6. The evaluations of the paper and the resulting written score can be viewed by the author(s) and the section secretary in the TDK electronic system.
7. In line with common practice at the OTDK and in scientific journals, reviews are anonymous to both the authors and their supervisors. Reviewers and section secretaries must also observe this.

VI. RULES FOR LAUNCHING, MERGING AND SPLITTING SECTIONS

1. After the submission deadline, ETDT is expected **to establish the final section allocation by 6 November 2026**. After that, papers cannot be moved to another section. When drawing up the final section allocation, ETDT considers the suggestions of the section secretaries, the supervisors and students concerned, as well as the following rules.
2. Of the sections listed in point 2 of this call, only those with at least 6 and at most 14 papers can be launched at the TDK. **When establishing the final section allocation, ETDT ensures that every paper concerned can compete in one of the sections.**
3. Sections containing 15 or more papers must be split into several sections.
4. Section secretaries may, where appropriate, request the splitting of sections containing 14 or fewer papers. The new sections created by the split must also contain at least 6 papers. Once the sections have been finalised, the section secretary shall:
 - a. invite the reviewers of the papers via the TDK electronic system within three working days;
 - b. if necessary, amend the name of the final section and prepare the oral round as described in Chapter VII.

VII. THE ORAL ROUND

1. The oral rounds are held between 30 November and 4 December 2026.
2. The six papers with the highest scores among the accepted papers automatically advance to the oral round of the section, except in the following cases:
 - a. If there is a tie for sixth place, more than six papers automatically advance to the oral round;
 - b. If the scores of the sixth-placed and subsequent papers are close, the section secretary may invite additional papers to the oral round.
3. In the case of multi-author papers, at least one author must appear at the oral round. If none of the authors of a paper attends the oral round, the paper receives zero points in the oral round. If the oral presentation cannot take place for any reason and this is known in advance, at least one author of the paper must immediately notify the relevant section secretary. If, after such a cancellation, the number of papers in the oral round falls below six, the section secretary must invite the next paper(s) in the ranking to replace the cancelled paper(s).
4. The authors of the papers that have reached the oral round present their paper, including the objectives and results of their work, in a 15-minute presentation. This is followed by 5–10 minutes of questions from the jury. For papers written in English, the presentation may be given in Hungarian or English, except in sections where ETDT determines the language of the section.
5. The section secretary shall take care of the selection of the jury required to conduct the oral round, and of the technical preparation and implementation of the oral round.
 - a. The jury shall have at least three voting members (the section secretary and at least two other jury members with expertise in the field of the section – one of whom is the chair of the jury) and one non-voting student member who formally monitors the activities of the jury. At least half of the jury members are lecturers or researchers of the University. The jury's decision is valid even if the student member is not present.
 - b. The section secretary, as the person responsible for conducting the section, is required to attend the oral round.
 - c. The chair of the jury must be a senior member of the University's academic staff holding a doctoral degree and cannot be the section secretary or the student member.
 - d. The student member must be a student at the University. The student member is nominated by the student members of ETDT, taking into account that only one student member per section may fulfil this role in the oral round. The ETDT Secretary

announces the list of student members two weeks after the ETDT meeting that decides on the final section allocation; the student members take part in conducting the oral round after having familiarised themselves with their rights and obligations set out in this call and with the information shared in a separate preparatory process.

- e. When composing the jury, the section secretary must strive to invite enough jury members to preserve the jury's decision making. If the supervisor of a paper is a jury member, they do not score the papers concerned and refrain from expressing an opinion. In this case, the section secretary must invite an additional jury member, as it must be ensured for each paper that there are at least three jury members entitled to evaluate that paper, so that every oral presentation is assessed by three impartial voting jury members.
 - f. The date and place of the oral round, the names, places of work and positions of the jury members shall be determined by the section secretary **by 25 November 2026**.
 - g. The section secretary shall make the papers, as well as their written evaluations and scores, available to the voting jury members via the electronic system at least five days before the oral round.
6. The oral round shall be open to the public. The following procedure applies:
- a. The oral round is led by the chair of the jury, while keeping and finalising the minutes and forwarding them to the TDK Office is the responsibility of the section secretary.
 - b. Each presentation lasts 15 minutes. In the presentation, the author(s) may also respond to the reviews. The presentation is followed by an open professional discussion of 5–10 minutes, as determined by the jury.
 - c. The jury members must be present throughout the oral round and follow all presentations.
 - d. After the last presentation of the oral round, the jury shall evaluate the presentations according to the criteria set out in *Annex 2b*; the student member does not score. In a closed session – in the presence of the student member as an observer who refrains from expressing an opinion; and excluding all students presenting in the oral round as well as any other attendees – the jury members discuss the results achieved, decide on the oral and final scores, prepare the final minutes and announce the results in accordance with the rules.

VIII. FINAL RANKING AND AWARDS

1. The sum of the oral score given by the jury and the written scores constitutes the paper's *final score*. The ranking by final score determines the final order of the papers.
2. Based on the established order, the jury awards prizes.
 - a. The jury may award a 1st, 2nd and 3rd prize, in descending order of rank. (ETDT may not award special prizes, except for special prizes offered by other organisations, which are decided on and presented after the oral round as agreed with the partner.)
 - b. The jury may also decide not to award certain prizes.
 - c. If the difference in points is small, a maximum of two papers may receive the same prize. In the case of shared prizes, the next paper in the ranking moves down accordingly. For example, if two papers share first place, the next paper (the third best) receives third place, not second.
 - d. The number of papers awarded 1st to 3rd prizes may not exceed half of the number of papers in the oral round, and no more than four papers may be awarded. If the number of papers in the oral round is odd, the number of prize-winning papers may exceed half of that number (rounded down) by one.
 - e. The jury makes its decision in a closed session, at which the student member must be present.
3. The jury announces the final results on the spot. The scores, final ranking and prizes are recorded in the minutes. The section secretary shall forward the signed minutes and their electronic version to the TDK Office by the end of the working day following the oral round.
 - a. The student member of the jury shall verify that the jury has acted impartially and in accordance with the rules. If they notice any irregularity, they must immediately report it to the chair of the jury and the section secretary and refuse to sign the minutes. If the student member submits their observations on the irregularity to the TDK Office within 24 hours of the oral round, ETDT decides, after hearing the jury members, whether to approve or annul the results announced by the jury.
 - b. Prize-winning papers become eligible to compete at the 2027 OTDK. Based on the jury's decision, further papers may also become eligible. The jury records this proposal in the minutes and announces it together with the results.
4. After the oral rounds have been completed in all sections, the TDK Office compiles the number of 1st, 2nd and 3rd prize-winning papers. The total budget available for the TDK and the method of its allocation are set out in the HTJSZ (Student Fees and Allowances Regulations).

5. From **among the students nominated for** the Best Paper Award, the three-member (3) TDK Award Committee, consisting of the chairs of the three discipline-level councils of student research societies (GTDT, TTDt, KTDT), makes a proposal. The Committee selects a maximum of four (4) award winners per discipline, twelve (12) in total. The award is a one-off cash payment of HUF 150,000 (gross) per paper. The award is given by submitted paper; for multi-author papers, it is divided equally among the authors. If one of the authors did not have student status at the University at the time of submission, their share of the award cannot be paid out.
6. The authors receive the certificates of their awards at the award ceremony, which serves as official certification from the University.
7. For students who did not achieve a placement or a special prize and are therefore not entitled to an award certificate, the TDK Office issues a written confirmation of their results at the TDK upon separate request.

IX. FINAL PROVISIONS

1. ETDT decides on all matters related to the application and interpretation of this call. Requests concerning disputed issues must be submitted to the TDK Office in writing.
2. ETDT's decisions may be appealed if they violate the TDK Regulations or the provisions of this call. Appeals must be lodged within five working days of receipt of the decision rejecting the request. The Vice-Rector for Education decides on the appeal within seven working days of its receipt.
3. This call does not prohibit submitting a TDK paper, or a further developed version of it, as a thesis; in this respect, only the Study and Examination Regulations of the University and of the relevant Faculty apply.

X. ANNEXES

ANNEX 1: FORMAL REQUIREMENTS

The formal requirements set out in this call are recommendations, which, besides ensuring a more uniform presentation of the papers, help ensure that TDK papers meet the requirements of the National Student Research Conference (OTDK), especially the OTDK Sections on Economics, Social Sciences, FiFöMa (Physics, Earth Sciences and Mathematics) and Agricultural Sciences.

1. General formal recommendations:

- a. A4 format, with 2.5 cm margins on all sides.
- b. Please indicate on the cover, in font size 14, the name, programme and year of study of the author(s), the title of the paper (in the same language as the paper) and the name of the supervisor.
- c. Text formatting: Times New Roman, font size 12, 1.5-line spacing, justified. Page numbers should be placed at the bottom center of the page; page numbering starts with page 1 at the Introduction.
- d. Figures, tables and annexes must be numbered and titled; the number, title and source must be indicated below each figure (or table).
- e. Subheadings and chapter titles should be indicated by decimal numbers (**I.** first level; **I.2.** second level; **I.2.3.** third level).
- f. Emphasis in the text should be indicated in italics (*only in justified cases*). Bold (except in titles and subheadings), underlining or other formatting must not be used for emphasis.
- g. The annex may include tables, figures and other documents that illustrate the message of the paper but do not form part of its main body.
- h. Structure of the paper:
 - Cover
 - Table of contents (a list of tables and figures is not required and is not recommended, especially if there are only a few tables and figures)
 - Introduction (it should include the research question and sub-questions; hypotheses are not always necessary)
 - Main chapters (suggested: literature review, research methodology, discussion of results, etc.)
 - Summary
 - References
 - Annexes (mandatory element: declaration on the use of AI)

2. Recommendations on the length of the paper, based on the 2027 OTDK section calls.

- a. For papers intended for the Economics Section of the OTDK, the OTDK paper may be up to 80 pages long (with no lower limit), counted from the first page of the

Introduction to the last page of the Summary. When the paper is entered for the OTDK, no text from the Introduction–Summary part of the version submitted to the institutional conference may be moved to the annex.

- b. For papers intended for the Social Sciences Section of the OTDK, the paper as a whole may not exceed 60 pages. The main text (i.e. excluding the title page, table of contents, references and annex(es)) must be at least 20 and at most 40 pages. The remaining parts may not exceed 20 pages.
 - c. For papers intended for the FiFöMa (Physics, Earth Sciences and Mathematics) Section of the OTDK, the OTDK paper may be up to 60 pages long (with no lower limit), counted from the first page of the Introduction to the last page of the Summary. The paper uploaded to the OTDK may differ in certain details from the version presented at the qualifying institutional conference, mainly as a result of changes based on the recommendations of the institutional jury; however, the research question of the two papers must be identical.
 - d. For papers entered for the Agricultural Sciences Section of the OTDK, papers must be between 30 and 50 pages long. Papers must follow this structure: Title page, Introduction, Objectives, Literature review, Materials and methods, Results and discussion, Summary, References, Acknowledgements (if any).
3. In-text citations should be given in parentheses using an author–date style (APA, Harvard, etc.).

ANNEX 2: EVALUATION CRITERIA

*2a. Paper evaluation criteria**

	evaluation criterion	max points	0 points
1.	General evaluation of the paper: justification of the relevance of the topic, formulation of research questions, logical structure, originality (max. 6 points)	If the relevance of the topic is well justified, the author formulates specific research questions, the paper is logically structured, its organisation and the proportion of chapters meet expectations, and overall, the paper is an original work based on substantial independent research	If the justification of the topic's relevance is weak, research questions are missing, the structure of the paper is flawed, confusing, hard to follow or illogical, its organisation and the proportion of chapters do not meet expectations, and overall, the paper is not an original work but essentially a reproduction of existing work
2.	literature background: knowledge and processing of the literature (max. 6 points)	if the literature and sources used are broad, well selected, up to date and relevant to the topic, the proportion of international references is appropriate to the content, and the source material is thoroughly processed, professionally analysed and independently evaluated	if the paper uses few, poorly or inappropriately chosen sources, the literature used is too general or outdated, references are missing or inaccurate, the processing is poor or unacceptable, and there is no independent evaluation
3.	methodology: quality of data collection and processing, appropriateness of the independently chosen methods and quality of their application (max. 6 points)	if data collection and processing are independent and comprehensive, the methodology chosen for the analysis is fully appropriate for examining the problem, the analytical methods are applied to a high standard, thoroughly and precisely (calculations are numerically correct), and the research addresses the problems examined with ingenious, novel methods	if the data underlying the research or their processing are incomplete, the chosen methodology is flawed or not in line with the research questions, the analytical methods are applied superficially, incorrectly or to a low standard, and the execution of the study is unacceptable
4.	own results: quality of the justification and evaluation of the author's own results and conclusions, and their novelty (max. 6 points)	if the paper is logically well founded, coherent and particularly interesting, provides results that are novel even at the level of the literature and consistent with the methodology, the author's own conclusions and findings are professionally sound and consistent with the methodology, and the independent line of reasoning is professional, clear, understandable and convincing	if the paper contains no results of its own, the methodology and the conclusions are inconsistent, the findings are general or incorrect, their derivation is confusing, and the line of reasoning is hard to follow or illogical

* Correct handling of references is a basic requirement, i.e. the literature and source material used must always be identifiable and retrievable. Otherwise, no points shall be awarded for criteria 2 and 3. In the case of plagiarism, the paper receives no points at all.

	evaluation criterion	max points	0 points
5.	formal requirements: style of the paper and quality of its presentation (max. 3 points)	if the paper is linguistically correct, its style is fluent and clear, it is well organised, tastefully and carefully edited, its presentation is of high quality, sources and in-text citations are handled professionally and accurately throughout, and the figures and tables are formally complete	if the text of the paper is difficult to understand, its style and presentation are unacceptable, it is formally rough and sloppy, its layout and handling of references are unclear and do not meet the requirements of publications in the field, and the figures and tables are formally incomplete (e.g. missing source, unit of measurement, etc.)
6.	abstract: formulation of research questions, methodology and results (max. 3 points)	if it clearly states the research questions and objectives, gives a good overview of the research conducted and the analytical methodology, and summarises the results in a professionally sound manner	if the research question is incomplete, the presentation of the methodology is inadequate, and the abstract is highly questionable from a professional point of view

2b. Evaluation criteria for the conference presentation

	evaluation criterion	5 points	0 points
1.	Overall quality of the content of the presentation (max. 20 points)		
	Were the structure and line of argument of the presentation logical overall?	The structure of the presentation is logical (relevance of the topic, research questions, analytical methodology, formulation of results), and its parts are well balanced	confusing logic, unbalanced structure
	Were the most important results and new ideas of the paper reflected in the presentation?	The presentation was well focused, highlighting the most important results of the paper	The presentation was not well focused, and failed to present the most essential elements of the paper
	At what scientific level were the author's own research results presented?	The presentation is professionally correct	the presentation is not professionally correct
	Did the presentation keep to the specified time limit?	The presentation kept to the time limit perfectly	The presentation exceeded the time limit or was too short
2.	Professional quality of the answers to questions (max. 10 points)		
	To what extent did the author respond meaningfully to the comments and questions raised on the spot by the reviewers and the jury?	The answers to the questions are professionally correct	professionally incorrect answers
	How confident is the author's command of the subject?	Proficiency in the subject or field is excellent	insufficient knowledge of the topic or field
3.	Style of presentation (max. 10 points)		
	Did the presentation meet the requirements of good presenting (easy to follow, precise, clearly reasoned, concise)?	clear, easy-to-follow presentation, excellent delivery, appropriate professional vocabulary, correct use of technical terms	difficult to understand, unclear presentation, poor delivery, limited professional vocabulary, incorrect use of terms
	What is the quality of the presentation materials?	engaging, well-structured slides; figures and tables are used professionally	plain or over-decorated, sketchy or overcrowded slides; figures and tables are not used professionally