

CORVINUS UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST
COUNCIL OF UNIVERSITY SCIENTIFIC STUDENTS'
ASSOCIATIONS

The 2025–2026. CALL
FOR COMPETITION OF THE SCIENTIFIC
STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE IN
THE SPRING SEMESTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. General.....	2
II. Data to be provided when uploading the PAPER.....	5
III. Restrictions on the identity and request of the supervisor	7
IV. PAPER requirements	8
V. Criteria for EVALUATING papers.....	9
VI. Rules for launching, merging and splitting sections	11
VII. The oral round.....	12
VIII. Final ranking and remuneration	14
IX. Final provisions.....	16
X. ANNEXES	17
Annex 1: Formal requirements.....	18
Annex 2: Assessment criteria	20
2a. Paper evaluation criteria.....	20
2b. Evaluation criteria of the presentation presented at the conference.....	22

The Council of the Scientific Students' Association of Corvinus University of Budapest (hereinafter: University) announces and regulates the Students' Scientific Association Conference of the 2nd semester of the year 2025–2026 in accordance with the regulations of the University's Scientific Students' Association (hereinafter: TDK) as follows.

I. GENERAL

The Scientific Students' Association (TDK) Conference is a competition of Corvinus University of Budapest, the details and requirements of which are set out in this call. The TDK creates a public forum for student works with scientific needs that go beyond the curricular curriculum. The preparation of the papers is assisted by a supervisor. Students present their papers in sections, similarly to scientific conferences. Papers and presentations are evaluated by a professional jury based on the expectations and norms of scientific publications. The aim of the TDK is to promote the scientific and professional development of authors, the development of scientific thinking and debating style

The conference is open to students of foreign universities teaching in Hungary or Hungarian only **bachelor's, master's and undivided programmes** (even with passive student status) (provided that at the time of the TDK conference they had not yet obtained an absolatory in their current training and had not yet been admitted to a doctoral school).

The TDK is organized by the Council of the University Scientific Students' Association (ETDT) with the cooperation of the section secretaries and the TDK Office. As a rule, entries nominated and accepted for the conference must be presented orally at the chapter meeting in person (with personal presence). Exceptionally and in justified cases, presentation via an online channel is also acceptable. Based on the request and justification submitted by the entrant student, the competent professional committee of ETDT decides on the possibility of online presentation after consulting the management of the section.

Address of TDK official website: <https://www.uni-corvinus.hu/fooldal/kutatas/tdk/>

ETDT can be contacted at: etdt@uni-corvinus.hu

Main dates, deadlines:

Deadline for submission of papers:	13 April 2026 (Monday) 23:59
Final section schedule:	15 April 2026 (Wednesday)
Date of announcement of oral rounds:	6 May 2026 (Wednesday)
Oral round:	11–15 May 2026 (Monday–Friday)
Award ceremony and closing reception:	28 May 2026 (Thursday) 11:00

The TDK is organized according to sections. Section secretaries shall be responsible for organising the sections. A section can have only one section secretary. The section secretary is a university citizen who is a doctoral student studying at one of the doctoral schools of Corvinus

University of Budapest or an employee employed in an academic position. The language of the TDK is basically Hungarian, but at the institutional TDK of Corvinus University of Budapest, papers can be submitted in English as well as Hungarian, and oral presentations can be held in Hungarian and English, except in sections where ETDT determines the language of the section.

In semester 2025–2026/2, papers can be submitted to the following sections (all sections are bilingual, but it is possible that after the section splitting, ETDT will determine the language of some sections):

1. Accounting and economic law
2. Agricultural economics
3. Artificial intelligence and information management
4. Banking, household and macro finance
5. Behavioral economics
6. Business economics
7. Business studies
8. Communication theory
9. Corporate finance
10. Digital marketing
11. Digital transformation
12. Economic modelling
13. Economic policy and macroeconomics
14. Economics
15. Entrepreneurship
16. Entrepreneurship development
17. ESG, sustainable production and consumption, CSR
18. European economy
19. Family business management
20. Financial markets
21. Geography, local development, geopolitics
22. Green finance
23. Health economics, policy and financing
24. HR and organization development
25. Inequalities
26. Institutions and economic behaviour
27. Intercultural marketing and consumer behavior

28. International relations – Challenges facing the EU
29. International relations – Global challenges
30. Leadership and organization, change management
31. Logistics and supply chain management
32. Management of innovation and technology
33. Marketing, media and design communications
34. Media science
35. Microeconomics, competition policy
36. Network and data science
37. Philosophy
38. Political economy
39. Political science
40. Project management
41. Psychology and pedagogy
42. Public policy and public governance
43. Research with social impact
44. Sociology
45. Sports management
46. Statistics and econometrics
47. Strategy and international management
48. Theory and practice of decision-making
49. Tourism
50. Transport and mobility
51. World economy

II. DATA TO BE PROVIDED WHEN UPLOADING THE PAPER

Participation in the TDK is subject to the uploading of the paper and the simultaneous registration:

- a. The author (in case of multi-author papers, only one of the authors) has to upload the finished paper on the TDK platform accessible through the official homepage of TDK.
- b. Authors who do not have student status at the University must communicate the following data to the TDK Secretariat by e-mail (etdt@uni-corvinus.hu) no later than midnight on 8 April (Wednesday):
 - 1.) student's name;
 - 2.) eleven-digit education ID;
 - 3.) the name of the higher education institution in which you are currently an active student;
 - 4.) number of active semesters;
 - 5.) name of major;
 - 6.) type of training (BSc / BA / MSc / MA / Undivided);
 - 7.) email;
 - 8.) telephone number;
 - 9.) permanent address.

The application is only valid if the **paper has been uploaded by 11:59 p.m. on April 13** and contains the following in full:

- i. The finished paper corresponding to the competition call
- ii. The Corvinus vocational college membership of the registering author, if any.
- iii. If applicable, the co-author (a paper can have up to 3 authors, i.e. 2 co-authors).
- iv. The supervisor (in case of an external supervisor, his/her name, institution, department, position, e-mail address).
- v. The title and language of the paper.
- vi. An abstract (resump) summarising the content of the paper, of at least 500 and maximum 2500 characters, which meets the following requirements: the structure of the abstract should follow the scientific character that appears in the paper, i.e. it should be included in a short summary
 - (1) the scientific question under consideration,
 - (2) a description of the research methodology used, and
 - (3) a brief description of the own results achieved (maximum 500 characters).¹

¹ The abstract must be submitted in only one language, which is the same as the language of the entry.

- vii. The names of the proposed sections with which, after consultation with the supervisor, the author(s) feel that the paper is the most thematically fit. In addition to the primary section, it is necessary to specify a secondary and tertiary section. **The final section schedule may differ from these**, which ETDT determines independently on the basis of professional and organizational criteria taking into account the preferences of supervisors and students.

III. RESTRICTIONS ON THE IDENTITY AND REQUEST OF THE SUPERVISOR

Participation in the TDK is subject to consultation with supervisor.

- a. A supervisor assists the student in preparing the paper. The supervisor must be a person with at least a master's degree (MA/MSc), aspiring to be a university lecturer or researcher.
- b. A paper can have a maximum of 2 supervisors.
- c. In the case of an external (non-Corvinus) supervisor, we recommend appointing a second supervisor teaching or researching at Corvinus University as an employee, retired or doctoral student.
- d. The supervisor must be asked at the beginning of the research work, but no later than before the paper is uploaded.
- e. The supervisor will receive an electronic message about the uploading of the paper, who may refuse the upload no later than 12:00 am on 14 April (Tuesday), 2026. If you reject it, the paper upload described in Chapter II is invalid.

IV. PAPER REQUIREMENTS

1. You can apply to the TDK with a paper based on independent research activity that exceeds the study obligations.
 - a. The language of the paper can be Hungarian or English.
 - b. If artificial intelligence (e.g. copywriting or image analysis software) was used during the preparation of the paper, the student is obliged to make a statement about this at the end of the paper. The statement should contain exactly what artificial intelligence tool was used and its role in the preparation of the paper.
 - c. The paper has not been published before, it has not been submitted anywhere as a master's paper or TDK paper before.
 - d. An improved version of a paper prepared in a bachelor's program can be called if it has not been published in another form (e.g. previous TDK, professional article). In this case, the history should be clearly indicated in the TDK paper.
 - e. The paper contains the mandatory elements expected from scientific works:
 - i. at the beginning of the paper, the introduction contains the scientific research question to be answered by the author;
 - ii. explicitly state its research model and/or methodology;
 - iii. at the end of the paper, you answer the scientific question posed; It summarizes the scientifically new or novel results of the paper as a paper.
 - f. The formal requirements for the paper *are set out* in Annex 1 to this notice.
2. By submitting the paper, all authors accept the following:
 - a. They accept the professional and ethical guidelines of BCE TDK.
 - b. They are fully responsible for the originality (see Section IV.1) and content of the paper. This responsibility extends equally and equally to all authors.
 - c. The paper is original and does not correspond to the paper prepared by the author(s) and submitted to other sections, nor to any paper submitted at another TDK conference, to a paper submitted and defended at a master's level, nor to a publication in the process of publication or published.
 - d. The submitted paper is the final version of their work, which cannot be modified after submission.
 - e. The paper can be accessed by all competitors and the instructors concerned after the submission deadline via the electronic system of TDK.
 - f. The paper may only be submitted to the National Scientific Students' Association Conference (OTDK) on behalf of Corvinus University of Budapest (even if the author of the paper is a student of another higher education institution).

V. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING PAPERS

1. The written score of the paper is decided by two opponents. The opponent's evaluation *shall be made in accordance with the evaluation sheet set out in Annex 2a and recorded electronically.*
2. The section secretary selects and invites the opponents. The following aspects shall be taken into account when inviting opponents and preparing their evaluations:
 - a. At least one of the opponents must be an employed lecturer or researcher at Corvinus University, retired or a doctoral student.
 - b. No opponents is allowed to know the evaluations of other opponents before final submission.
 - c. Opponents should be selected from experts in the relevant field (teachers, researchers, practitioners). At least one of the opponents is a teacher or researcher with an academic degree.
 - d. The opponent of the paper cannot be the supervisor of the paper. The section secretary may also be a opponent.
 - e. Opponents have at least two weeks to prepare their evaluations. In case of a discrepancy of 8 or more points between the evaluating scores (as defined in point V.3), the third evaluation must be made within 5 working days.
3. In case of deviation between the scores of the two opponents is 8 points or more, then in the first step the section secretary of the given section tries to provide the two opponents with the opportunity to discuss their arguments and possibly correct their evaluations. If the correction of the evaluations is not made, or the difference of at least 8 points still exists, a third opponent – who has not yet evaluated the given paper – shall be invited. If there is a third opponent, the sum of the two closest to each other of the three evaluation scores will be the written score of the paper. If there are two pairs of such points, the sum of the higher two scores will be the written score of the paper.
4. The paper will be excluded from the TDK if the author(s) commits the offence of plagiarism – i.e. uses someone else's work without proper reference, attribution and/or author's permission, and presents it as his/her own work. Everyone has the right and duty to notify the section secretary of suspected plagiarism. In case of suspicion of plagiarism, the fact of plagiarism can be established by a three-member committee set up for this purpose by ETDT, certifying it. The members of the committee are the relevant section secretary, the section discipline TDT chair and the ETDT secretary. If the suspicion of plagiarism is proven, the paper and all its authors shall be excluded from the competition.
5. A paper below 36 points is not considered an accepted paper.

6. The evaluations of the paper and the written score formed on the basis of them can be viewed by the author or authors of the paper and the section secretary through the electronic system of the TDK.
7. In accordance with the usual practice at the OTDK (country-level TDK) and in scientific journals in general, the evaluations of the opponents are anonymous to both the authors and their supervisors. This must be adhered to by opponents and section secretaries as well.

VI. RULES FOR LAUNCHING, MERGING AND SPLITTING SECTIONS

1. After submitting the papers, ETDT is expected **to establish the final section schedule by 15 April, 2026**. After that, it is not possible to modify the paper section. When drawing up the final section schedule, ETDT takes into account the suggestions of the section secretaries, the supervisors and students concerned, as well as the following rules.
2. Of the sections listed in point 2 of this call, only those sections with at least 6 and maximum 14 papers can be launched at the TDK. ETDT provides the opportunity for each paper concerned to participate in a section during the formation of the final section schedule.
3. Sections containing 15 or more papers should be divided into several sections.
4. Section secretaries may, where appropriate, request the splitting of sections containing 14 papers or less. The new sections created after the demolition must also contain at least 6 papers. Once the sections have been finalised, the section secretary shall:
 - a. Through the electronic system of TDK, it invites the opponents of the papers within three working days,
 - b. if necessary, amend the name of the final section and prepare the oral round as described in Chapter VII.

VII. THE ORAL ROUND

1. The oral rounds are **11–15 May 2026**. are held between.
2. The six papers with the highest scores among the accepted papers automatically advance to the oral round of the section, except in the following cases:
 - a. If the sixth place is tied on points, more than six papers will be automatically entered in the oral round;
 - b. In case of close points for 6th place and subsequent papers, the section secretary may invite additional papers to the oral round.
3. In the case of multi-author papers, at least one author must appear at the oral round. If none of the authors of a paper appears in the oral round, the paper receives zero points in the oral round. If the oral presentation fails for any reason and this is foreseeable, at least one author of the paper must immediately notify the relevant section secretary. If, after such cancellation of oral performance, the number of papers in the oral round falls below six, the section secretary shall invite the next paper(s) in order to replace the cancelled paper(s).
4. The authors of the papers that have reached the oral round present their paper, the objectives and results of their work in 15-minute presentations. After that, the jury can ask questions to the authors for 5-10 minutes. In the case of papers in English, the lecture may be held in Hungarian or English, except in sections where ETDT determines the language of the section.
5. The section secretary shall take care of the technical conditions for conducting the oral round, the selection of the jury and the preparation of the oral round.
 - a. The jury shall have at least three voting members (the section secretary and at least two other jury members familiar with the topic of the section – one of whom is the president of the jury) and one non-voting student member. The jury’s decision is valid even if the student member is not present. The section secretary shall invite additional jury members to the jury. The chairman of the jury must be a senior lecturer of the University with a scientific degree, and cannot be a section secretary or a student member.
 - b. At least half of the jury members are lecturers or researchers of the University.
 - c. A student member may be a student of the University. The student member is nominated by ETDT student members. The ETDT Secretary presents the list of student members two weeks after the ETDT meeting that decides on the final section schedule.
 - d. The date and place of the oral round, the names, places of work and positions of the jury members shall be determined by the section secretary **by 6 May 2026**.
 - e. If a jury member is also a supervisor, the section secretary should, taking into account professionalism, intend to invite additional jury member (or members).

- f. The section secretary shall make the papers, as well as the textual evaluations and scores of the papers available to the jury members via the electronic system at least one week before the oral date.
6. The oral round shall be open to the public. When conducting it, the following procedure should be followed:
 - a. The oral round will be chaired by the chairman of the jury.
 - b. The duration of the lecture and presentation is 15 minutes for each paper. In the presentation, the author(s) can also react to evaluations. The presentation will be followed by an open professional debate of 5-10 minutes determined by the jury.
 - c. The jury members will be present throughout the oral round and will follow the presentations.
 - d. The jury shall evaluate the performances *according to the criteria set out in Annex 2b*. The student member does not score.
 - e. If the supervisor of a paper is a jury member, he does not score for the touched papers and also refrains from expressing his opinion.

VIII. FINAL RANKING AND REMUNERATION

1. The sum of the oral score given by the jury and the written scores gives the *final score* evaluating the paper. The order by final score represents the final order of the papers.
2. Based on the established order, the jury awards prizes.
 - a. The jury may award I. prize, II. prize and III. This order also means a decreasing ranking of awards. (ETDT may not award a special prize, except for a special prize offered by other organisations, which will be decided and awarded after the oral round in agreement with the partner.)
 - b. The jury may also decide not to award certain prizes.
 - c. In case of a small difference in points, maximum two papers may receive the same prize. In the case of shared prizes, the ranking of the next paper in the ranking will be moved back by that much. For example, if two papers share first place, the next paper (third best) will not rank II, but third place.
 - d. The number of papers awarded with prizes I to III may not exceed half of the number of oral papers, and maximum four paper could be awarded. In the case of an odd number of papers, the remuneration of a number of papers exceeding the whole part of half of the number of papers got into the oral round.
 - e. The jury makes its decision in camera, at which the student member must be present.
3. The jury will announce the final result on the spot. The points, final order and fees are recorded in minutes. After the oral round, the signed minutes and their electronic version shall be forwarded by the Section Secretary to the TDK Office within the working day following the round.
 - a. The student member of the jury shall verify that the jury has acted in accordance with the rules and impartially. If he finds any anomalies, he must immediately report his observations to the chairman of the jury and refuse to sign the minutes. If you submit your observations on the anomaly to the TDK Office within 24 hours of the oral round, ETDT will decide whether to approve or annul the result announced by the jury after hearing the jury members.
 - b. The placed papers will be eligible to participate in the 2027 OTDK. Based on the decision of the jury, further papers may be eligible to participate in the OTDK. The jury shall enter this proposal in the minutes and announce it when the results are announced.
4. After the oral round has been completed in each section, the TDK Office summarises the number of papers placed I, II and III. The total budget that can be spent on TDK and the method of its allocation are determined by the HTJSZ.

5. From **among the students nominated for** the Best Paper Award, the three (3) members of the TDK Award Committee make a proposal, consisting of the presidents of the three scientific student association councils (GTDT, TTDT, KTDT). The Council will select a maximum of four–four (4–4) laureates, for a total of twelve (12). The fee is a lump sum cash allowance, its amount is Ft 150 000 gross/paper. The prize is valid per submitted entry, so if the paper is multiauthored, the prize will be divided equally between them. If an author who is not a student with the University at the time of submission of the paper joins the community of authors, the fee allocated to him or her may not be distributed.
6. The authors of the papers will receive their certificate certifying the awarded award at the award ceremony.
7. At the request of the student, the TDK Office may issue a written certificate of his/her results at the TDK.

IX. FINAL PROVISIONS

1. ETDT shall decide on matters related to the application and interpretation of this policy. Requests for disputed issues must be sent to the TDK Office in written form.
2. ETDT's decisions may be appealed against if they violate the TDK regulations or the provisions of this Competition Notice. The appeal shall be lodged within five working days of receipt of the negative decision. The appeal is considered by the Vice-Rector for Education within seven working days of receipt of the appeal.
3. This policy does not prohibit the submission of a TDK paper or its further developed version as a paper, so only the Study and Examination Regulations of the University and the competent Faculty shall govern in this regard.

X. ANNEXES

ANNEX 1: FORMAL REQUIREMENTS

The formal requirements specified in the Competition Rules are recommendations, which, in addition to a more uniform presentation of the papers, serve to ensure that TDK papers meet the requirements of the National Conference of Scientific Students' Associations (OTDK), especially the OTDK Sections on Economics, Social Sciences, FiFöMa (Physics, Earth Sciences and Mathematics) and Agricultural Sciences.

1. General formal recommendations:

- a. A4 format, the size of the margins is uniformly 2.5 cm.
- b. Please indicate on the cover the name, major, grade of the author(s), major, grade, title of the paper (in the same language as the paper), the name of the supervisor in font size 14.
- c. Text formatting: font size 12, Times New Roman, leading 1.5, justified alignment. The page number should be placed at the bottom center of the page, the page numbering begins with Page Number 1 with Introduction.
- d. Figures, tables or annexes shall be numbered and titled, and their number, title and source shall be indicated below the figure (or table).
- e. Subheadings and chapter titles should be indicated by decimal numbers (**I.** Prime; **I.2.** Second order; **I.2.3.** Third order).
- f. Content highlighting in the text should be indicated in italics (*only in justified cases*). Bold fonts (except titles, subheadings), underlining or other solutions should not be used for highlighting.
- g. Tables, figures and other documents may be included in the annex that serve to illustrate the message of the paper, but do not form the main part of the paper.
- h. Structure of the paper:
 - Cover
 - Table of contents (list of tables and figures is not needed, especially not recommended for small number of tables and figures)
 - Introduction (it should include the research question, sub-questions, but hypotheses are not always necessary)
 - Content chapters (proposed: literature, research methodology, evaluation of results, etc.)
 - Summary
 - References
 - Attachments

2. Recommendations regarding the scope of the paper based on the 2027 OTDK section calls.

- a. In the case of papers preparing for the Economics Section of the OTDK, the length of the OTDK paper can be up to 80 pages (there is no lower limit), which is from the first page of the Introduction to the last page of the Summary. At the institutional conference,

the text from the introduction to the Summary cannot be transferred to the annex when the paper is nominated for the OTDK.

- b. In the case of papers preparing for the Social Sciences Section of the OTDK, the paper as a whole may contain no more than 60 pages. The content, i.e. excluding the title page, table of contents, references and annex(es), shall be at least 20 pages and not more than 40 pages. The non-content section is limited to 20 pages.
 - c. In the case of papers prepared for the FiFöMa (Physics, Earth Sciences and Mathematics) section of the OTDK, the length of the OTDK paper can be up to 60 pages (there is no lower limit), which is from the first page of the Introduction to the last page of the Summary. The application uploaded to the OTDK may differ in content from that specified at the institutional qualification conference in certain details, which primarily means changes based on the recommendations of the jury of the institutional conference, but the scientific questions of the two papers must be identical.
 - d. In the case of papers submitted to the Agricultural Science Section of the OTDK, the papers can be a minimum of 30 and a maximum of 50 pages. Entries must follow the following internal layout: Title page, Introduction, Objective, References, Literature review, Materials and methods, Results and evaluation, Summary, References, Acknowledgements (if any).
3. Inline references should be parenthetical according to the author-year formula (APA, Harvard, etc.).

ANNEX 2: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

*2a. Paper evaluation criteria**

evaluation criterion	max points	0 points
1. general evaluation of the paper: justification of the relevance of the topic, formulation of research questions, logical structure, originality (max. 6 points)	if the relevance of the topic is well defined, the author formulates specific research questions, the paper has a logical structure, the layout according to expectations, the ratio of chapters, etc., overall the paper is an original work based on significant independent research	if the justification for the relevance of the topic is of low quality, research questions are missing, the structure of the paper is objectionable, confusing, untraceable, illogical, the layout does not meet expectations, the ratio of chapters, etc., overall the paper is not an original work, basically a republication
2. literary background: knowledge and processing of literature sources (max. 6 points)	if the literature used and the range of sources are widely drawn, well-selected, topical, adequate to the topic, the proportion of international literature references corresponds to the content, the source material is thoroughly processed, professionally analyzed, independently evaluated	if few, poorly or inappropriately chosen sources are used in the paper, the literature used is too general, outdated, omitted, inaccurate references, poor or unacceptable processing, there is no independent evaluation
3. methodology: the level of information collection and processing, the correctness of the choice of independently performed methods, the quality of their application (max. 6 points)	if the data collection and processing, independent, comprehensive methodology chosen for the analysis, is completely adequate for the examination of the problem, the implementation of the analysis methods is of high quality, thorough, precise (calculations are also numerically correct), the research solves the examined problems with ingenious, previously unknown, new methods	if the information background of the research or its processing is incomplete, the chosen methodology is objectionable, not in line with the research questions, the application of the analysis methods is vague, incorrect, of low quality, the execution of the study is unacceptable
4. own results: quality of justification and evaluation of own results, conclusions, their novelty (max. 6 points)	if the paper is logically well-founded, coherent, especially interesting, it provides novel results at the level of the literature, which are in line with the methodology, the own conclusions and findings are professionally correct, in line with the methodology, the independent thinking is professional, clear and understandable, clear with convincing reasoning	if the paper does not contain its own results, there is a lack of coherence between the methodology and the conclusions, the findings are general, incorrect or erroneous, their derivation is confusing, the line of reasoning is untraceable, their derivation is confusing

* Correct handling of references is a basic requirement, i.e. the literature and source material used must always be identifiable and retrievable. Otherwise, no points shall be awarded for criteria 2 and 3. In case of plagiarism, no points can be awarded for the paper at all.

	evaluation criterion	max points	0 points
5.	formal requirements: style of paper, high quality of appearance (max. 3 points)	if the paper is linguistically correct, its style is smooth and understandable, its execution is clear, tastefully and carefully structured, its appearance is demanding, the handling of sources and inline references are professional and accurate throughout, the figures and tables are formally complete	if the text of the paper is difficult to understand, its style and appearance are unacceptable, it is a formally-rough, sloppy work, its execution, the handling of its source references is unclear, it does not meet the requirements of professional publications, the figures and tables are formally incomplete (e.g. lack of source, unit of measurement, etc.)
6.	abstract: formulation of research questions, methodology and results (max. 3 points)	if it clearly contains the questions and objectives of the research, it provides a good overview of the implemented research and analytical methodology and summarises the results in a professionally correct manner	if the question is incomplete, the presentation of the research methodology is inadequate, the abstract is highly questionable from a professional point of view

2b. Evaluation criteria of the presentation presented at the conference

	Award criterion	5 points	0 points
1.	General content level of the presentation (max. 20 points)		
	Overall, was the structure and thought process of the presentation logical?	The structure of the presentation is logical (relevance of the topic, research questions, analytical methodology, formulation of results), its articulation is proportional	confusing logic, disproportionate articulation
	Were the most important results and new ideas of the paper reflected in the presentation?	The presentation was well focused, highlighting the most important results of the paper	The presentation was not well focused, it failed to display the most essential elements of the paper
	At what scientific level did you manage to present your own research results?	The presentation is professionally correct	the presentation is not professionally correct
	Did the presentation meet the specified time frame?	The presentation kept the time frame perfectly	It did not fit into the specified time frame or the presentation was too short
2.	Professionalism of answers to questions (max. 10 points)		
	To what extent did you succeed in responding meaningfully to the comments and questions of the opponents and the jury on the spot?	The answers to these questions are professionally correct	professionally incorrect answers
	What professional security do you have on the subject?	Proficiency in the subject or field is excellent	incomplete knowledge in the topic or field
3.	Style of presentation (max. 10 points)		
	Did the presentation meet the requirements of the lecturer (traceability, precision, clear reasoning, cohesiveness?)	easy-to-understand, easy-to-follow presentation, excellent presentation, appropriate professional vocabulary, correct use of technical terms	difficult to understand, unclear presentation, poor presentation, incomplete vocabulary, incorrect use
	What is the quality of presentation materials?	Attention-grabbing, articulated slides, figures and tables are used professionally	poor/overdecorated, rough/overcrowded slides, figures and tables are not professional