

CORVINUS UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST
COUNCIL OF UNIVERSITY SCIENTIFIC STUDENTS'
ASSOCIATIONS

THE 2024–2025. SPRING SEMESTER CALL
FOR COMPETITION OF THE SCIENTIFIC
STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. General	2
II. Data to be provided when uploading the Paper	5
III. Restrictions on the identity and request of the supervisor	7
IV. Paper requirements.....	8
V. Criteria for evaluation of papers.....	10
VI. Rules for launching, merging and splitting sections	12
VII. The oral round.....	13
VIII. Final ranking and remuneration	15
IX. Final provisions.....	17
X. Appendices	18
Appendix 1: Formal requirements.....	19
Appendix 2: Assessment criteria.....	21
2a. Paper evaluation criteria.....	21
2b. Evaluation criteria of the presentation presented at the conference.....	23

The Council of the Scientific Students' Association (hereinafter: ETDT) of Corvinus University of Budapest (hereinafter: University) announces and regulates the Scientific Students's Association Conference of the 2nd semester of the year 2024–2025. in accordance with the regulations of the University's Scientific Students' Association (hereinafter: TDK) as follows.

I. GENERAL

The Scientific Students' Association (TDK) Conference is a competition of Corvinus University of Budapest, the details and requirements of which are set out in this call. The TDK creates a public forum for student works with scientific needs that go beyond the curricular curriculum. The preparation of the papers is assisted by a supervisor. Students present their papers in sections, similarly to scientific conferences. Papers and presentations are evaluated by a professional jury based on the expectations and norms of scientific publications. The aim of the TDK is to promote the scientific and professional development of authors, the development of scientific thinking and debating style.

The conference is open to students from only **bachelor's, master's and undivided programmes** (even with passive student status) of other universities in Hungary or teaching in Hungarian (provided that at the time of the TDK conference they had not yet obtained an absolatory in their current training and had not yet been admitted to a doctoral school).

The TDK is organized by the Council of the University Scientific Students' Association (ETDT) with the cooperation of the section secretaries and the TDK Office. As a rule, entries nominated and accepted for the conference must be presented orally at the chapter meeting in person (with personal presence). Exceptionally and in justified cases, presentation via an online channel is also acceptable. Based on the request and justification submitted by the entrant student, the competent professional committee of ETDT decides on the possibility of online presentation after consulting the management of the section.

Address of TDK official homepage: <https://www.uni-corvinus.hu/fooldal/kutatas/tdk/>

ETDT can be contacted at: etdt@uni-corvinus.hu

Main dates, deadlines:

Deadline for uploading papers:	April 23 rd , 2025 (Wednesday) 23:59
Final section schedule:	April 25 th , 2025 (Friday)
Date of announcement of oral rounds:	May 13 th , 2025 (Tuesday)
Oral round:	May 19 th –23 rd , 2025 (Monday–Friday)
Award ceremony and closing reception:	May 29 th , 2024 (Thursday) 11:00

The TDK is organized according to sections. Section secretaries shall be responsible for organising the sections. A section can have only one section secretary. The section secretary is a university citizen who is a doctoral student studying at one of the doctoral schools of Corvinus

University of Budapest or an employee employed in an academic position. The language of the TDK is basically Hungarian, but at the institutional TDK of Corvinus University of Budapest, papers can be submitted in English as well as Hungarian, and oral presentations can be held in Hungarian and English, except in sections where ETDT determines the language of the section.

In semester 2024–2025/2, papers can be submitted to the following sections (all sections are bilingual, but it is possible that after the section splitting, ETDT will determine the language of some sections):

1. Accounting and economic law
2. Agricultural economics
3. Artificial intelligence and information management
4. Banking, household and macro finance
5. Behavioral economics
6. Business economics
7. Business studies
8. Communication theory
9. Corporate finance
10. Digital marketing
11. Digital transformation
12. Economic modelling
13. Economic policy and macroeconomics
14. Economics
15. Energy management and water economics issues
16. Entrepreneurship
17. Entrepreneurship development
18. ESG, CSR, sustainability
19. European economy
20. Family business management
21. Financial markets
22. Geography, geopolitics, regional development
23. Health economics, policy and financing
24. Higher education policy and management
25. HR and organizational development
26. Inequalities
27. Institutions and economic behaviour

28. Intercultural marketing and consumer behavior
29. International relations – Challenges facing the EU
30. International relations – Global challenges
31. Leadership and organization, change management
32. Logistics and supply chain management
33. Marketing strategy
34. Marketing, media and design communications
35. Mathematics
36. Media science
37. Microeconomics, competition policy
38. Network and data science
39. Philosophy
40. Political economy
41. Political science
42. Project management
43. Psychology and pedagogy
44. Public management and policy
45. Research with social impact
46. Sociology
47. Sports management
48. Statistics and econometrics
49. Strategy and international management
50. Sustainable finance
51. Theory and practice of decision-making
52. Tourism
53. Transport and mobility
54. World economy

II. DATA TO BE PROVIDED WHEN UPLOADING THE PAPER

Participation in the TDK is subject to the uploading of the paper and the simultaneous registration:

- a. The author (in case of multi-author papers, only one of the authors) has to upload the finished paper on the platform accessible through the official homepage of TDK.
- b. Authors who do not have student status at the University must communicate the following data to the TDK Secretariat by e-mail (etdt@uni-corvinus.hu) no later than midnight on Monday, April 14:
 - 1.) name of student;
 - 2.) eleven-digit education ID;
 - 3.) the name of the higher education institution in which you are currently an active student;
 - 4.) number of active semesters;
 - 5.) name of major;
 - 6.) type of training (BSc / BA / MSc / MA / Undivided);
 - 7.) email;
 - 8.) telephone number;
 - 9.) permanent address.

The application is only valid if the **paper has been uploaded by 11:59 p.m. on April 23** and contains the following in full:

- i. The finished paper corresponding to the competition call
- ii. If applicable, the co-author (a paper can have up to 3 authors, i.e. 2 co-authors).
- iii. The supervisor (in case of an external supervisor, his/her name, institution, department, position, e-mail address).
- iv. The title and language of the paper.
- v. An abstract summarising the content of the paper, of at least 500 and maximum 2500 characters, which meets the following requirements: the structure of the abstract should follow the scientific character that appears in the paper, i.e. it should be included in a short summary
 - (1) the scientific question under consideration,
 - (2) a description of the research methodology used, and
 - (3) a brief description of the own results achieved (maximum 500 characters).¹

¹ The abstract must be submitted in only one language, which is the same as the language of the entry.

- vi. The names of the proposed sections with which, after consultation with the supervisor, the author(s) feel that the paper is the most thematically fit. In addition to the primary section, it is necessary to specify a secondary and tertiary section. **The final section schedule may differ from these**, which ETDT determines independently on the basis of professional and organizational criteria taking into account the preferences of supervisors and students.

III. RESTRICTIONS ON THE IDENTITY AND REQUEST OF THE SUPERVISOR

Participation in the TDK is subject to supervisor's consultation.

- a. A supervisor assists the student in preparing the paper. The supervisor must be a person with at least a master's degree (MSc/MA), aspiring to be a university lecturer or researcher.
- b. A paper can have a maximum of 2 supervisors.
- c. In the case of an external (non-Corvinus) supervisor, we recommend appointing a second supervisor teaching or researching at Corvinus University as an employee, retired or doctoral student.
- d. The supervisor must be asked at the beginning of the research work, but no later than before the paper is uploaded.
- e. The supervisor will receive an electronic message about the uploading of the paper, who may refuse the upload no later than 4:00 pm on Thursday, April 24, 2025. If you reject it, the paper upload described in Chapter II is invalid.

IV. PAPER REQUIREMENTS

1. You can apply to the TDK with a paper based on independent research activity that exceeds the study obligations.
 - a. The language of the paper can be Hungarian or English.
 - b. During the preparation of the paper the use of artificial intelligence is only permitted for minor subtasks (e.g. literature searches, mapping certain topics, 'ideas generation', checking grammar and spelling, etc.). It is not possible to submit as own work any material that is partially or completely the output of one (or more) AI tools.
 - i. The authors must state in the Appendix which tool was used in the preparation of the paper and for what purpose. If the Professional Committee can prove that the original text or research results of the paper are to a significant extent the product of artificial intelligence, then the paper cannot be considered an independent work.
 - ii. If several tools were used in several places and to different depths, it must be clearly indicated (in a footnote linked to the first sentence of the given chapter, subchapter or paragraph) to what extent a given artificial intelligence tool was used in the preparation of specific parts of the paper.
 - c. The paper has not been published before, it has not been submitted anywhere as a master's thesis or TDK paper before.
 - d. An improved version of a thesis prepared in a bachelor's program can be called if it has not been published in another form (e.g. previous TDK, professional article). In this case, the history should be clearly indicated in the TDK paper.
 - e. The paper contains the mandatory elements expected from scientific works:
 - i. at the beginning of the paper, the introduction contains the scientific research question to be answered by the author;
 - ii. explicitly state its research model and/or methodology;
 - iii. at the end of the paper, you answer the scientific question posed; It summarizes the scientifically new or novel results of the paper as a thesis.
 - f. The formal requirements for the paper *are set out* in Appendix 1 to this notice.
2. By submitting the paper, all authors accept the following:
 - a. They accept the professional and ethical guidelines of BCE TDK.
 - b. They are fully responsible for the originality (see Section IV.1) and content of the paper. This responsibility extends equally and equally to all authors.
 - c. The paper is original and does not correspond to the paper prepared by the author(s) and submitted to other sections, nor to any paper submitted at another TDK conference, to a

thesis submitted and defended at a master's level, nor to a publication in the process of publication or published.

- d. The submitted paper is the final version of their work, which cannot be modified after submission.
- e. The paper can be accessed by all competitors and the instructors concerned after the submission deadline via the electronic system of TDK.
- f. The paper may only be submitted to the National Scientific Students' Association Conference (OTDK) on behalf of Corvinus University of Budapest (even if the author of the paper is a student of another higher education institution).

V. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF PAPERS

1. The written score of the paper is decided by two opponents. The opponent's review *shall be made in accordance with the evaluation form set out in Appendix 2a and recorded electronically*.
2. The section secretary selects and invites the opponents. The following aspects shall be taken into account when inviting opponents and preparing their evaluations:
 - a. At least one of the opponents must be an employed lecturer or researcher at Corvinus University, retired or a doctoral student.
 - b. No opponent is allowed to know the evaluations of other opponents before final submission.
 - c. Opponents should be selected from experts in the relevant field (teachers, researchers, practitioners). At least one of the opponents is a teacher or researcher with a scientific degree.
 - d. The opponent of the paper cannot be the supervisor of the paper. The section secretary may also be an opponent.
 - e. Opponents have at least two weeks to prepare their evaluations. In case of a difference of 8 or more points between the evaluation scores (as defined in point V.3), third evaluation must be made within 5 working days.
3. If the difference between the two evaluations is less than 8 points, the written score is the sum of the scores of the two opponents. In case of deviation of 8 points or more, a third opponent shall be invited. The sum of the two closest to each other of the three evaluation scores will be the written score of the paper. If there are two pairs of such points, the sum of the higher two scores will be the written score of the paper.
4. The paper will be excluded from the TDK if the author(s) commits the offence of plagiarism – i.e. uses someone else's work without proper reference, attribution and/or author's permission, and presents it as his/her own work. Everyone has the right and duty to notify the section secretary of suspected plagiarism. In case of suspicion of plagiarism, the fact of plagiarism can be established by a three-member committee set up for this purpose by ETDT, certifying it. The members of the committee are the relevant section secretary, the TDT president for scientific field of the section and the ETDT secretary. If the suspicion of plagiarism is proven, the paper and all its authors shall be excluded from the competition.
5. A paper below 36 points is not considered an accepted paper.

6. The evaluations of the paper and the written score formed on the basis of them can be viewed by the author or authors of the paper and the section secretary through the electronic platform of the TDK.

VI. RULES FOR LAUNCHING, MERGING AND SPLITTING SECTIONS

1. After submitting the papers, ETDT is expected **to establish the final section schedule by April 25, 2025**. After that, it is not possible to modify the section of the papers. When drawing up the final section schedule, ETDT takes into account the suggestions of the section secretaries, the supervisors and students concerned, as well as the following rules.
2. Of the sections listed in point 2 of this call, only those sections with at least 6 and maximum 14 papers can be launched at the TDK. ETDT provides the opportunity for each paper concerned to participate in a section during the formation of the final section schedule.
3. Sections containing 15 or more papers should be divided into several sections.
4. Section secretaries may, where appropriate, request the splitting of sections containing 14 papers or less. The new sections created after the demolition must also contain at least 6 papers. Once the sections have been finalised, the section secretary shall:
 - a. Through the electronic platform of TDK, it invites the opponents of the papers within three working days,
 - b. if necessary, amend the name of the final section and prepare the oral round as described in Chapter VII.

VII. THE ORAL ROUND

1. The oral rounds are **19-23 May 2025**. are held between.
2. The six papers with the highest scores among the accepted papers automatically advance to the oral round of the section, except in the following cases:
 - a. If the sixth place is tied on points, more than six papers will be automatically entered in the oral round;
 - b. In case of close points for 6th place and subsequent papers, the section secretary may invite additional papers to the oral round.
3. In case of multi-author paper at least one author must appear at the oral round. If none of the authors of a paper appears in the oral round, the paper receives zero points in the oral round. If the oral presentation fails for any reason and this is foreseeable, at least one author of the paper must immediately notify the relevant section secretary. If, after such cancellation of oral performance, the number of papers in the oral round falls below six, the section secretary shall invite the next paper(s) in order to replace the cancelled paper(s).
4. The authors of the papers that have reached the oral round present their paper, the objectives and results of their work in 15-minute presentations. After that, the jury can ask questions to the authors for 5-10 minutes. In the case of papers in English, the lecture may be held in Hungarian or English, except in sections where ETDT determines the language of the section.
5. The section secretary shall take care of the technical conditions for conducting the oral round, the selection of the jury and the preparation of the oral round.
 - a. The jury shall have at least three voting members (the section secretary and at least two other jury members familiar with the topic of the section – one of whom is the chairman of the jury), and one non-voting student member. The jury's decision is valid even if the student member is not present. The section secretary might invite additional jury members to the jury. The chairman of the jury must be a senior academic of the University with a scientific degree and cannot be the section secretary or the student member.
 - b. At least half of the jury members are lecturers or researchers of the University.
 - c. A student member may be a student of the University. The student member is nominated by ETDT student members. The ETDT Secretariat presents the list of student members two weeks after the ETDT meeting that decides on the final section schedule.
 - d. The date and place of the oral round, the names, places of work and positions of the jury members shall be determined by the section secretary **by 13 May 2025**.

- e. If a jury member is also a supervisor, the section secretary taking into account professionalism try to invite additional jury member (or members).
 - f. The section secretary shall make the papers, as well as the textual evaluations and scores of the papers available to the jury members via the electronic system at least one week before the oral date.
6. The oral round shall be open to the public. When conducting it, the following procedure should be followed:
- a. The oral round will be chaired by the chairman of the jury.
 - b. The duration of the lecture and presentation is 15 minutes for each paper. In the presentation, the author(s) can also react to the opponents' reviews. The presentation will be followed by an open professional debate of 5–10 minutes determined by the jury.
 - c. The jury members will be present throughout the oral round and will follow the presentations.
 - d. The jury shall evaluate the performances according to the criteria set out in *Appendix 2b*. The student member does not score.
 - e. If the supervisor of a paper is a jury member, in case of the given paper, he/she does not score and also refrains from expressing his/her opinion.

VIII. FINAL RANKING AND REMUNERATION

1. The sum of the oral score given by the jury and the written scores gives the *final score* evaluating the paper. The order by final score represents the final order of the papers.
2. Based on the established order, the jury awards prizes.
 - a. The jury may award I. prize, II. prize and III. This order also means a decreasing ranking of awards. (ETDT may not award a special prize, except for a special prize offered by other organisations, which will be decided and awarded after the oral round in agreement with the partner.)
 - b. The jury may also decide not to award certain prizes.
 - c. In case of a small difference in points, several papers may receive the same prize. In the case of shared prizes, the ranking of the next paper in the ranking will be moved back by that much. For example, if two papers share first place, the next paper (third best) will not rank II, but third place.
 - d. The number of papers awarded with prizes I to III may not exceed half of the number of oral papers. In the case of an odd number of papers, the remuneration of a number of papers exceeding the whole part of half of the number of papers constituting the final section may be authorized.
 - e. The jury makes its decision in camera, at which the student member must be present.
3. The jury will announce the final result on the spot. The points, final order and fees are recorded in minutes. After the oral round, the signed minutes and their electronic version shall be forwarded by the Section Secretary to the TDK Office within the working day following the round.
 - a. The student member of the jury shall verify that the jury has acted in accordance with the rules and impartially. If he finds any anomalies, he must immediately report his observations to the chairman of the jury and refuse to sign the minutes. If you submit your observations on the anomaly to the TDK Office within 24 hours of the oral round, ETDT will decide whether to approve or annul the result announced by the jury after hearing the jury members.
 - b. The placed papers will be eligible to participate in the 2025 OTDK. Based on the decision of the jury, further papers may be eligible to participate in the OTDK. The jury shall enter this proposal in the minutes and announce it when the results are announced.
4. After the oral round has been completed in each section, the TDK Office summarises the number of papers placed I, II and III. The total budget that can be spent on TDK and the method of its allocation are determined by the HTJSZ.

5. From **among the students nominated for** the Best Paper Award, the three (3) members of the TDK Award Committee make a proposal, consisting of the presidents of the scientific student's association councils for the three scientific fields (GTDT, TTDT, KTDT). The Council will select a maximum of four (4–4) laureates, for a total of twelve (12). The fee is a lump sum cash allowance, its amount is HUF 150,000 gross/paper. The prize is valid per submitted entry, so if the paper is multiauthored, the prize will be divided equally between them. If an author who is not a student with the University at the time of submission of the paper joins the community of authors, the fee allocated to him or her may not be distributed.
6. The authors of the papers will receive their certificate certifying the awarded award at the award ceremony, scheduled for **May 29, 2025 (Thursday) at 11 a.m.**
7. At the request of the student, the TDK Office may issue a written certificate of his/her results at the TDK.

IX. FINAL PROVISIONS

1. ETDT shall decide on matters related to the application and interpretation of this policy. Requests for disputed issues must be sent to the TDK Office in written form.
2. ETDT's decisions may be appealed against if they violate the TDK regulations or the provisions of this Competition Notice. The appeal shall be lodged within five working days of receipt of the negative decision. The appeal is considered by the Vice-Rector for Education within seven working days of receipt of the appeal.
3. This policy does not prohibit the submission of a TDK paper or its further developed version as a thesis, so only the Study and Examination Regulations of the University and the competent Faculty shall govern in this regard.

X. APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1: FORMAL REQUIREMENTS

The formal requirements specified in the Competition Rules are recommendations, which, in addition to a more uniform presentation of the papers, serve to ensure that TDK papers meet the requirements of the National Scientific Students' Associations Conference (OTDK), especially the OTDK Sections on Economics, Social Sciences, FiFöMa (Physics, Earth Sciences and Mathematics) and Agricultural Sciences.

1. General formal recommendations:

- a. A4 format, the size of the margins is uniformly 2.5 cm.
- b. Please indicate on the cover the name, major, grade of the author(s), major, grade, title of the paper (in the same language as the paper), the name of the supervisor in font size 14.
- c. Text formatting: font size 12, Times New Roman, leading 1.5, justified alignment. The page number should be placed at the bottom center of the page, the page numbering begins with Page Number 1 with Introduction.
- d. Figures, tables or appendices shall be numbered and titled, and their number, title and source shall be indicated below the figure (or table).
- e. Subheadings and chapter titles should be indicated by decimal numbers (**I.** Prime; **I.2.** Second order; **I.2.3.** Third order).
- f. Content highlighting in the text should be indicated in italics (*only in justified cases*). Bold fonts (except titles, subheadings), underlining or other solutions should not be used for highlighting.
- g. Tables, figures and other documents may be included in the appendix that serve to illustrate the message of the paper, but do not form the main part of the paper.
- h. Structure of the paper:
 - Cover
 - Table of contents (table and figure table is not needed, and especially not recommended in case of small numbers of tables and figures)
 - Introduction (it should include the research question, sub-questions, but hypotheses are not needed for all the cases)
 - Content chapters (proposed: literature review, research methodology, evaluation of results, etc.)
 - Summary (or Conclusion)
 - References
 - Appendices

2. Recommendations regarding the scope of the paper based on the 2025. OTDK section calls.

- a. In the case of papers preparing for the Economics Section of the OTDK, the length of the OTDK paper can be up to 80 pages (there is no lower limit), which is from the first page of the Introduction to the last page of the Summary. At the institutional conference,

the text from the introduction to the Summary cannot be transferred to the appendix when the paper is nominated for the OTDK.

- b. In the case of papers preparing for the Social Sciences Section of the OTDK, the paper as a whole may contain no more than 60 pages. The content, i.e. excluding the title page, table of contents, references and appendix(es), shall be at least 20 pages and not more than 40 pages. The non-content section is limited to 20 pages.
 - c. In the case of papers prepared for the FiFöMa (Physics, Earth Sciences and Mathematics) section of the OTDK, the length of the OTDK paper can be up to 60 pages (there is no lower limit), which is from the first page of the Introduction to the last page of the Summary. The application uploaded to the OTDK may differ in content from that specified at the institutional qualification conference in certain details, which primarily means changes based on the recommendations of the jury of the institutional conference, but the scientific questions of the two papers must be identical.
 - d. In the case of papers submitted to the Agricultural Science Section of the OTDK, the papers can be a minimum of 30 and a maximum of 50 pages. Entries must follow the following internal layout: Title page, Introduction, Objective, Bibliography, Literature review, Materials and methods, Results and evaluation, Summary, Bibliography, Acknowledgements (if any).
3. Inline references should be parenthetical according to the author-year formula (APA, Harvard, etc.).

APPENDIX 2: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

*2a. Paper evaluation criteria**

	evaluation criterion	max points	0 points
1.	general evaluation of the paper: justification of the relevance of the topic, formulation of research questions, logical structure, originality (max. 6 points)	if the relevance of the topic is well defined, the author formulates specific research questions, the paper has a logical structure, expected division, proportion of chapters, etc., overall the paper is based on a significant independent research	if the justification of the relevance of the topic is of a low standard, the research questions are missing, the structure of the paper is objectionable, confusing, untraceable, illogical, the proportion of chapters does not meet expectations, etc., the paper is not an original work
2.	literature background: knowledge and processing of literature sources (max. 6 points)	if the used literature, the range of sources is widely drawn, well-selected, current, adequate to the topic, the proportion of international literature references corresponds to the content, the source material is thoroughly processed, professionally analysed, and independently evaluated	if the paper uses few, poorly or incorrectly chosen sources, the used literature used too general, outdated, inaccurate references, poor or unacceptable processing, no independent evaluation
3.	methodology: quality of their application quality of information collection and processing, correct choice of independently performed methods, quality of application (max. 6 points)	if the data collection and processing is independent and comprehensive, the methodology chosen for the analysis is completely adequate to investigate the problem, the implementation of the analysis methods is high quality, thorough, precise (calculations are numerically correct), the research solves the previously unknown new methods, problems examined	if the information background of the research or its processing is incomplete, the chosen methodology is objectionable, it is not in line with the research questions, the application of the analysis methods is rough, incorrect, of low quality, the performance of the study is unacceptable
4.	own results: quality of the justification and evaluation of one's own results and conclusions, their novelty (max. 6 points)	if the paper is logically well-founded, coherent, especially interesting, it provides novel results at the level of the literature, which are in line with the methodology, the own conclusions and findings are professionally correct, in line with the methodology, the independent thinking is professional, clear and understandable, clear with convincing reasoning	if the paper does not contain its own results, there is a lack of coherence between the methodology and the conclusions, the findings are general, incorrect or erroneous, their derivation is confusing, the line of reasoning is untraceable, their derivation is confusing

* Correct handling of references is a basic requirement, i.e. the literature and source material used must always be identifiable and retrievable. Otherwise, no points shall be awarded for criteria 2 and 3. In case of plagiarism, no points can be awarded for the thesis at all.

	evaluation criterion	max points	0 points
5.	formal requirements: the style of the paper, the complexity of the appearance (max. 3 points)	if the paper is linguistically correct, its style is fluent, easy to understand, its execution is clear, tastefully edited, its appearance is demanding, the handling of sources and intertextual references are professional, accurate, and the figures and tables are formally complete	if the text of the paper is difficult to understand, its style and appearance are unacceptable, formally rough, careless work, execution, handling of its citations is opaque, it does not meet the requirements of professional publications, figures and tables are formally incomplete (e.g. lack of source, unit)
6.	abstract: formulation of research questions, methodology and results (max. 3 points)	if it includes the questions and objectives of the research in an understandable way, it provides a good overview of the implemented research, analysis methodology and summarizes the results professionally	if the questioning is incomplete, the presentation of the research methodology is not appropriate, the summary is highly questionable professionally

2b. Evaluation criteria of the presentation presented at the conference

	evaluation criterion	5 points	0 points
1.	general content level of the presentation (max. 20 points)		
	overall, was the structure and thought process of the presentation logical?	the structure of the presentation is logical (relevance of the topic, research questions, analytical methodology, formulation of results), its articulation is proportional	confusing logic, disproportionate articulation
	were the most important results and new ideas of the thesis reflected in the presentation?	the presentation was well focused, highlighting the most important results of the thesis	the presentation was not well focused, it failed to display the most essential elements of the thesis
	at what scientific level did you manage to present your own research results?	the presentation is professionally correct	the presentation is not professionally correct
	did the presentation meet the specified time frame?	the presentation kept the time frame perfectly	it did not fit into the specified time frame or the presentation was too short
2.	professionalism of answers to questions (max. 10 points)		
	to what extent did you succeed in responding meaningfully to the comments and questions of the opponents and the jury on the spot?	the answers to these questions are professionally correct	professionally incorrect answers
	what professional security do you have on the subject?	proficiency in the subject or field is excellent	incomplete knowledge in the topic or field
3.	style of presentation (max. 10 points)		
	did the presentation meet the requirements of the lecturer (traceability, precision, clear reasoning, cohesiveness?)	easy-to-understand, easy-to-follow presentation, excellent presentation, appropriate professional vocabulary, correct use of technical terms	difficult to understand, unclear presentation, poor presentation, incomplete vocabulary, incorrect use
	what is the quality of presentation materials?	attention-grabbing, articulated slides, figures and tables are used professionally	poor/overdecorated, rough/overcrowded slides, figures and tables are not professional