

CORVINUS UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST  
COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC STUDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS

The 2023/2024. CALL FOR COMPETITION  
OF THE ANNUAL SCIENTIFIC STUDENTS'  
ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. General .....	2
II. Data to be provided when applying .....	6
III. Restrictions on the identity and request of the supervisor .....	8
IV. Thesis requirements .....	9
V. Criteria for judging papers .....	10
VI. Rules for launching, merging and splitting sections .....	11
VII. The oral round.....	12
VIII. Final ranking and remuneration .....	14
IX. Final provisions .....	16
X. ANNEXES .....	17
Annex 1: Formal requirements.....	18
Annex 2: Assessment criteria .....	20
2a. Criteria for evaluating the thesis .....	20
2b. Evaluation criteria of the presentation presented at the conference.....	22

The Council of the University Scientific Students' Association of Corvinus University of Budapest (hereinafter: ETDT) announces and regulates the Scientific Students' Association Conference of the 2023/2024 academic year in accordance with the regulations of the University's Scientific Students' Association (hereinafter: TDK) as follows.

## I. GENERAL

The Scientific Students' Association (TDK) Conference is an annual competition organized by Corvinus University of Budapest, usually in spring, the details and requirements of which are set out in this call. The TDK creates a public forum for student works with scientific needs that go beyond the curricular curriculum. The preparation of the papers is assisted by a supervisor. Students present their papers in sections, similarly to scientific conferences. Papers and presentations are evaluated by a professional jury based on the expectations and norms of scientific publications. The aim of TDK is to promote the scientific and professional development of authors, the development of scientific thinking and debating style. The papers can be submitted in English as well as Hungarian, but oral presentations are conducted in Hungarian, except in the English-language sections.

They can participate in the conference (provided that they have not yet obtained an absolutionary at the time of the TDK conference):

1. students and visiting students of Corvinus University of Budapest (also with passive student status),
2. members of colleges accredited by Corvinus University of Budapest, if
  - a. have an active student status at a Hungarian higher education institution; and
  - b. at the time of the TDK conference, they had not yet obtained an absolutionary in their current training.
3. In the case of an open section<sup>1</sup>, students of all Hungarian higher education institutions with active student status.

The TDK is organized by the Council of the University Scientific Students' Association (ETDT) with the cooperation of the section secretaries and the TDK Office. As a rule, entries nominated and accepted for the conference must be presented orally at the chapter meeting in person (with personal presence). Exceptionally and in justified cases, presentation via an online channel is also acceptable. Based on the request and justification submitted by the entrant student, the competent professional committee of ETDT decides on the possibility of online presentation after consulting the management of the section.

**Address of TDK official website:** <https://www.uni-corvinus.hu/fooldal/kutatas/tdk/>

**ETDT can be contacted at:** [etdt@uni-corvinus.hu](mailto:etdt@uni-corvinus.hu)

---

<sup>1</sup> Open sections are indicated by ETDT in the list of sections in the Call for Competition.

**Main dates, deadlines:**

Application deadline:	26 February 2024 (Monday) 23:59
Deadline for uploading papers:	Wednesday, 17 April 2024 16:00
Final section schedule:	April 19, 2024 (Friday)
Date of announcement of oral rounds:	April 29, 2024 (Monday)
Oral round:	May 06-10, 2024
Award ceremony and closing reception:	Thursday, 16 May 2024 11:00

The TDK is organized according to sections. Section secretaries shall be responsible for organising the sections. A section can have only one section secretary. The section secretary is a university citizen who is a doctoral student studying at one of the doctoral schools of Corvinus University of Budapest or an employee employed in an academic position. In the 2023/2024 academic year, papers can be submitted to the following sections:

1. Agrárgazdaságtan
2. Bank és Fintech
3. Business studies
4. Communication and media science
5. Családi vállalkozások menedzsmentje
6. Digital marketing
7. Digitális marketing
8. Digitális transzformáció: vezetési, szervezeti és teljesítmény kérdések
9. Döntéshozatal elmélete és gyakorlata
10. Economics
11. Egészségügy-gazdaságtan
12. Energiagazdálkodás és a vízgazdaság kérdései
13. Európa
14. Felsőoktatás-menedzsment és felsőoktatás-policy
15. Fenntarthatósági menedzsment és környezetgazdaságtan
16. Filozófia
17. Financial markets
18. Földrajz, geopolitika, regionális fejlődés
19. Gazdaságelemzés és gazdaságmodellezés
20. Gazdasági rendszerek, intézmények, szabályozás
21. Gazdaságpolitika és makroökonómia

22. Hálózat- és adattudomány
23. Health economics, policy, and financing
24. HR és szervezetfejlesztés
25. Inequalities in a historical perspective
26. Informatika és információmenedzsment
27. Interkulturális marketing és fogyasztói magatartás
28. International relations
29. Intézmények és gazdasági viselkedés
30. Kommunikációelmélet
31. Közgazdálkodás és közpolitika
32. Közlekedés és mobilitás
33. Logisztika és ellátási lánc menedzsment
34. Marketing-, média- és designkommunikáció
35. Marketingstratégia
36. Matematika
37. Médiatudomány
38. Mikroökonómia, versenypolitika
39. Nemzetközi kapcsolatok – EU előtt álló kihívások
40. Nemzetközi kapcsolatok – Globális kihívások
41. Pénzügy
42. Pénzügyi ökonometria
43. Pénzügyi piacok
44. Philosophy
45. Politikai gazdaságtan
46. Politikatudomány
47. Projektmenedzsment
48. Pszichológia és pedagógia
49. Public policy and management
50. Sociology
51. Sportgazdaságtan
52. Statisztika és ökonometria
53. Stratégia és nemzetközi menedzsment
54. Sustainable finance
55. Számvitel és gazdasági jog
56. Személyes pénzügyek

- 57. Szociológia
- 58. Társadalmi hatású kutatás
- 59. Turizmus
- 60. Vállalatgazdaságtan
- 61. Vállalkozás
- 62. Vállalkozásfejlesztés
- 63. Vezetés és szervezés, változásvezetés
- 64. Világgazdaság
- 65. Viselkedési közgazdaságtan
- 66. World economy and Europe
- 67. Student section (topic to be named)

## II. DATA TO BE PROVIDED WHEN APPLYING

Participation in the TDK is subject to registration:

- a. The author (in case of multi-author papers, only one of the authors) must fill in the online application form available through the official website of the TDK,
- b. Authors who do not have student status at the University must provide the following data to the TDK Secretariat by e-mail prior to registration:
  - 1.) student's name;
  - 2.) Corvinus College for Advanced Studies in which he is a member;
  - 3.) eleven-digit education ID;
  - 4.) the name of the higher education institution in which you are currently an active student;
  - 5.) number of active semesters;
  - 6.) name of major;
  - 7.) type of training (BSc / BA / MSc / MA / Undivided);
  - 8.) email;
  - 9.) telephone number;
  - 10.) permanent address.

The application is only valid if the application form has been completed **by 23:59 on Monday, February 26, 2024** and contains the following in full:

- i. The registrant author is Corvinus' membership in the college for advanced studies.
- ii. If applicable, the co-author (a thesis can have up to 3 authors, i.e. 2 co-authors).
- iii. The supervisor (in case of an external supervisor, his/her name, institution, department, position, e-mail address).
- iv. The title and language of the thesis.
- v. An abstract (resump) summarising the content of the thesis, of at least 500 and maximum 2500 characters, which meets the following requirements: the structure of the abstract should follow the scientific character that appears in the thesis, i.e. it should be included in a short summary
  - (1) the scientific question under consideration,
  - (2) a description of the research methodology used, and
  - (3) a brief description of the own results achieved (maximum 500 characters).<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> The abstract uploaded at registration must contain the research question and the proposed method of investigation. The abstract finalized at the time of uploading the thesis must also contain a brief summary of the achieved results. The abstract must be submitted in only one language, which is the same as the language of the entry.

- vi. The name of the proposed section(s) with which, after consultation with the supervisor, the author(s) feel that the thesis is the most thematically fit. In addition to the primary section, it is necessary to specify a secondary and tertiary section. The final section schedule may differ from these, which ETDT determines independently on the basis of professional and organizational criteria taking into account the recommendations of supervisors and students, section secretaries.



### **III. RESTRICTIONS ON THE IDENTITY AND REQUEST OF THE SUPERVISOR**

Participation in the TDK is subject to consultation.

- a. A supervisor assists the student in preparing the thesis. A supervisor must have at least a master's degree (MA/MSc).
- b. In the case of an external (non-Corvinus) supervisor, a supervisor teaching or researching as an employee, retired or doctoral student at Corvinus University is also required.
- c. A thesis can have a maximum of 2 supervisors.
- d. The supervisor must be invited before applying.
- e. The supervisor will receive an electronic message about the application, which he or she may reject within 3 working days of sending the request. If he refuses, the author can choose a new supervisor within 3 working days, from which the new supervisor will receive an electronic message. If the author (or authors) do not invite a new supervisor or the new supervisor rejects the invitation within 3 working days, the registration described in Chapter II is invalid.

## IV. THESIS REQUIREMENTS

1. You can apply to the TDK with a thesis based on independent research activity that exceeds the study obligations.
  - a. The language of the thesis can be Hungarian or English. However, English sections can only be entered with a thesis written in English.
  - b. The thesis has not been published before, it has not been submitted anywhere as a master's thesis or TDK thesis before.
  - c. An improved version of a thesis prepared in a bachelor's program can be called if it has not been published in another form (e.g. previous TDK, professional article). In this case, the history should be clearly indicated in the TDK thesis.
  - d. The thesis contains the mandatory elements expected from scientific works:
    - i. at the beginning of the thesis, the introduction contains the scientific research question to be answered by the author;
    - ii. explicitly state its research model and/or methodology;
    - iii. at the end of the thesis, you answer the scientific question posed; It summarizes the scientifically new or novel results of the thesis as a thesis.
  - e. The formal requirements for the thesis *are set out* in Annex 1 to this notice.
2. By submitting the thesis, all authors accept the following:
  - a. They accept the professional and ethical guidelines of BCE TDK.
  - b. They are fully responsible for the originality (see Section IV.1) and content of the thesis. This responsibility extends equally and equally to all authors.
  - c. The thesis is original and does not correspond to the thesis prepared by the author(s) and submitted to other sections, nor to any thesis submitted at another TDK conference, to a thesis submitted and defended at a master's level, nor to a publication in the process of publication or published.
  - d. The submitted thesis is the final version of their work, which cannot be modified after submission.
  - e. The thesis can be accessed by all competitors and the instructors concerned after the submission deadline via the electronic system of TDK.
  - f. The thesis may only be participated in the National Scientific Students' Association Conference (OTDK) by the representative of Corvinus University of Budapest.

## V. CRITERIA FOR JUDGING PAPERS

1. The written score of the thesis is decided by two reviewers. The assessment *shall be made in accordance with the assessment sheet set out in Annex 2a and recorded electronically*.
2. The section secretary selects and invites the assessors. If the section secretary was the supervisor of a thesis, the judges of the thesis are decided by the chairman of the jury already invited in advance or by the TDT president of the discipline. The following aspects shall be taken into account when inviting reviewers and preparing their reviews:
  - a. No reviewer is allowed to know the judges of other reviewers before final submission.
  - b. Reviewers should be selected from experts in the relevant field (teachers, researchers, practitioners). At least one of the reviewers is a teacher or researcher with an academic degree.
  - c. The reviewer of the thesis cannot be the supervisor of the thesis. The section secretary may also be a reviewer.
  - d. Reviewers have at least two weeks to prepare their assessments. In case of a discrepancy of 8 or more points between the judging scores (as defined in point V.3), the third assessment must be made within 5 working days.
3. If the difference between the scores of the two judging points is less than 8 points, the written score is the sum of the scores of the two reviews. In case of deviation of 8 points or more, a third reviewer shall be invited. The sum of the two closest to each other of the three evaluation scores will be the written score of the thesis. If there are two pairs of such points, the sum of the higher two scores will be the written score of the thesis.
4. The thesis will be excluded from the TDK if the author(s) commits the offence of plagiarism – i.e. uses someone else's work without proper reference, attribution and/or author's permission, and presents it as his/her own work. Everyone has the right and duty to notify the section secretary of suspected plagiarism. In case of suspicion of plagiarism, the fact of plagiarism can be established by a three-member committee set up for this purpose by ETDT, certifying it. The members of the committee are the relevant section secretary, the section discipline TDT chair and the ETDT secretary. If the suspicion of plagiarism is proven, the thesis and all its authors shall be excluded from the competition.
5. A paper below 36 points is not considered an accepted paper.
6. The evaluations of the thesis and the written score formed on the basis of them can be viewed by the author or authors of the thesis and the section secretary through the electronic system of the TDK.

## VI. RULES FOR LAUNCHING, MERGING AND SPLITTING SECTIONS

1. After submitting the papers, ETDT is expected **to establish the final section schedule by April 19, 2024**. After that, it is not possible to modify the thesis section. When drawing up the final section schedule, ETDT takes into account the suggestions of the section secretaries, the supervisors and students concerned, as well as the following rules.
2. Of the sections listed in point 2 of this call, only those sections with at least 6 and maximum 14 papers can be launched at the TDK. The secretaries of sections with 5 or fewer papers propose which section their section should be merged with, or to which section the papers submitted to the section should be transferred. In addition, they may propose to take over papers proposed for other sections. ETDT shall immediately notify the authors of the papers concerned and shall provide the opportunity for each of the papers concerned to participate in a section during the establishment of the final section schedule.
3. Sections containing 15 or more papers should be divided into several sections.
4. Section secretaries may, where appropriate, request the splitting of sections containing 14 papers or less. The new sections created after the demolition must also contain at least 6 papers. The request for section division, together with the reasons therefor, must be sent in writing or electronically to the TDT president and secretary of the relevant discipline and to the secretary of ETDT 1 working day before the meeting deciding on the final section schedule. Once the sections have been finalised, the section secretary shall:
  - a. Through the electronic system of TDK, it invites the examiners of the papers within three working days,
  - b. if necessary, modify the name of the final section,and prepare the oral round as described in Chapter VII.

## VII. THE ORAL ROUND

1. The oral rounds will take place from **06 to 10 May 2024**. are held between.
2. The six papers with the highest scores among the accepted papers will advance to the oral round of the section, except in the following cases:
  - a. If the sixth place is tied on points, more than six papers will be entered in the oral round;
  - b. In case of close points for 6th place and subsequent papers, the section secretary may invite additional papers to the oral round.
3. At least one author must appear at the oral round. If none of the authors of a paper appears in the oral round, the thesis receives zero points in the oral round. If, for any reason, the oral presentation fails and this is foreseeable, at least one author of the thesis must immediately notify the relevant section secretary. If, after such cancellation of oral performance, the number of papers in the oral round falls below six, the section secretary shall invite the next paper(s) in order to replace the cancelled paper(s).
4. The authors of the papers that have reached the oral round present their thesis, the objectives and results of their work in 15-minute presentations. After that, participants can ask questions to the authors for 5-10 minutes. The lecture must be held in Hungarian, except in the English-language sections, where the lectures must be held in English.
5. The section secretary shall take care of the technical conditions for conducting the oral round, the selection of the jury and the preparation of the oral round.
  - a. The jury shall have at least four members: the section secretary and at least two other jury members familiar with the topic of the section (one of whom is the president of the jury) and one non-voting student member. The chairman of the jury must be a senior lecturer of the University with a scientific degree, who was not the supervisor of any of the papers included in the section. Section secretary and student member may not be jury president. If the section secretary is the supervisor of the paper(s) included in the oral round, an additional jury member shall be invited to the jury.
  - b. At least half of the jury members are lecturers or researchers of the University.
  - c. A student member may be a student of the University. The student member is nominated by ETDT student members. The ETDT Secretary presents the list of student members two weeks after the ETDT meeting that decides on the final section schedule.
  - d. The date and place of the oral round, the names, places of work and positions of the jury members **will be recorded by the section secretary in the electronic system of TDK** until 29 April 2023.
  - e. If a jury member is also a supervisor, the section secretary shall endeavour to invite additional jury member (or members).

- f. The section secretary shall make the papers, as well as the textual evaluations and scores of the papers available to the jury members via the electronic system at least one week before the oral date.
- 6. The oral round shall be open to the public. When conducting it, the following procedure should be followed:
  - a. The oral round will be chaired by the chairman of the jury.
  - b. The duration of the lecture and presentation is 15 minutes for each thesis. In the presentation, the author(s) can also react to criticisms. The presentation will be followed by an open professional debate of 5-10 minutes determined by the jury.
  - c. The jury members will be present throughout the oral round and will follow the presentations.
  - d. The jury shall evaluate the performances *according to the criteria set out in Annex 2b*. The student member does not score.
  - e. If the supervisor of a thesis is a jury member, he does not score for the papers consulted by him and also refrains from expressing an opinion.

## **VIII. FINAL RANKING AND REMUNERATION**

1. The sum of the oral score given by the jury and the written scores gives the final score evaluating the thesis. The order by final score represents the final order of the papers.
2. Based on the established order, the jury awards prizes.
  - a. The jury may award first prize, II prize and III prize (no special prize may be awarded, except for special prizes offered by other organisations, which will be awarded after the oral sessions and in agreement with the partner). This order also means a decreasing ranking of awards.
  - b. The jury may also decide not to award certain prizes.
  - c. In case of a small difference in points, several papers may receive the same prize. In the case of shared prizes, the ranking of the next paper in the ranking will be moved back by that much. For example, if two papers achieve a shared first place, the next (third best) paper will not get second place, but third place.
  - d. The number of papers awarded with prizes I to III may not exceed half of the number of oral papers. In the case of an odd number of papers, upon written request submitted by the Section Secretary to the ETDT Secretary, the remuneration of a number of papers exceeding the whole part of half of the number of papers constituting the final section may be authorized, with a justification for their content, taking into account the total number of papers that can be awarded at the entire conference. The student concerned shall be informed at the end of the oral round, when the results are announced, that the remuneration will be decided by ETDT taking into account the number of prizes that may be awarded.
  - e. The jury makes its decision in camera, at which the student member must be present.
3. The jury will announce the final result on the spot. The points, final order and fees are recorded in minutes. After the oral round, the signed minutes and their electronic version shall be forwarded by the Section Secretary to the TDK Office within the working day following the round.
  - a. The student member of the jury shall verify that the jury has acted in accordance with the rules and impartially. If he finds any anomalies, he must immediately report his observations to the chairman of the jury and refuse to sign the minutes. You will send your comments on the anomaly to the TDK Office within 24 hours of the oral round. ETDT shall decide whether to confirm or annul the result announced by the jury after hearing the jury members.

- b. The placed papers will be eligible to participate in the 2023 OTDK. Based on the decision of the jury, further papers may be eligible to participate in the OTDK. The jury shall enter this proposal in the minutes and announce it when the results are announced.
4. After the oral round has been completed in each section, the TDK Office summarises the number of papers placed I, II and III. The total budget that can be spent on TDK and the method of its allocation are determined by the HTJSZ.
5. From **among the students nominated for** the Best Paper Award, the three (3) members of the TDK Award Committee make a proposal, consisting of the presidents of the three scientific student association councils (GTDT, TTDT, KTDT). The Council will select a maximum of four (4-4) laureates, for a total of twelve (12). The fee is a lump sum cash allowance, its amount is HUF 150,000 gross/thesis. The prize is valid per submitted entry, so if the thesis is multiauthored, the prize will be divided equally between them. If an author who is not a student with the University at the time of submission of the thesis joins the community of authors, the fee allocated to him or her may not be distributed.
6. The authors of the papers will receive their certificates attesting to the awarded award at the award ceremony, scheduled for **May 16, 2024 (Thursday) at 11 a.m.**
7. At the request of the student, the TDK Office may issue a written certificate of his/her results at the TDK.



## **IX. FINAL PROVISIONS**

1. ETDT shall decide on matters related to the application and interpretation of this policy. Requests for disputed issues must be sent to the TDK Office in written form.
2. ETDT's decisions may be appealed against if they violate the TDK regulations or the provisions of this Competition Notice. The appeal shall be lodged within five working days of receipt of the negative decision. The appeal is considered by the Vice-Rector for Education within seven working days of receipt of the appeal.
3. This policy does not prohibit the submission of a TDK thesis or its further developed version as a thesis, so only the Study and Examination Regulations of the University and the competent Faculty shall govern in this regard.

## **X. ANNEXES**

## ANNEX 1: FORMAL REQUIREMENTS

The formal requirements specified in the Competition Rules are recommendations, which, in addition to a more uniform presentation of the papers, serve to ensure that TDK theses meet the requirements of the National Conference of Scientific Students' Associations (OTDK), especially the OTDK Economics and Social Sciences Sections.

### 1. General formal recommendations:

- a. A4 format, the size of the margins is uniformly 2.5 cm.
- b. On the cover, please indicate the name, faculty, major, year, title of the thesis, (in Hungarian and English), the name of the supervisor in font size 14.
- c. Text formatting: font size 12, Times New Roman, leading 1.5, justified alignment. The page number should be placed at the bottom center of the page, the page numbering begins with Page Number 1 with Introduction.
- d. Figures, tables or annexes shall be numbered and titled, and their number, title and source shall be indicated below the figure (or table).
- e. Subheadings and chapter titles should be indicated by decimal numbers (**I.** Prime; **I.2.** Second order; **I.2.3.** Third order).
- f. Content highlighting in the text should be indicated in italics (*only in justified cases*). Bold fonts (except titles, subheadings), underlining or other solutions should not be used for highlighting.
- g. Tables, figures and other documents may be included in the annex that serve to illustrate the message of the thesis, but do not form the main part of the thesis.
- h. Structure of the thesis:
  - Cover
  - Table of contents (table and figure table is optional, recommended only if there are a sufficient number of tables and figures)
  - Introduction
  - Content chapters (proposed: literature, research methodology, results, evaluation, etc.)
  - Summary
  - Bibliography
  - Attachments

### 2. Recommendations regarding the scope of the thesis based on the 2022 OTDK section calls.

- a. In the case of papers preparing for the Economics Section of the OTDK, the length of the OTDK thesis can be up to 80 pages (there is no lower limit), which is from the first page of the Introduction to the last page of the Summary. At the institutional conference, the text from the introduction to the Summary cannot be transferred to the annex when the thesis is nominated for the OTDK.

- b. In the case of papers preparing for the Social Sciences Section of the OTDK, the thesis as a whole may contain no more than 60 pages. The content, i.e. excluding the title page, table of contents, table of figures, bibliography and annex(es), shall be at least 20 pages and not more than 40 pages. The non-content section is limited to 20 pages.
  - c. In the case of papers prepared for the FiFöMa (Physics, Earth Sciences and Mathematics) section of the OTDK, the length of the OTDK thesis can be up to 60 pages (there is no lower limit), which is from the first page of the Introduction to the last page of the Summary. The application uploaded to the OTDK may differ in content from that specified at the institutional qualification conference in certain details, which primarily means changes based on the recommendations of the jury of the institutional conference, but the scientific questions of the two papers must be identical.
  - d. In the case of papers submitted to the Agricultural Science Section of the OTDK, the papers can be a minimum of 30 and a maximum of 50 pages. Entries must follow the following internal layout: Title page, Introduction, Objective, Bibliography, Literature review, Materials and methods, Results and evaluation, Summary, Bibliography, Acknowledgements (if any).
3. Inline references should be parenthetical according to the author-year formula (APA, Harvard, etc.).

## ANNEX 2: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

### *2a. Thesis evaluation criteria\**

	<b>Award criterion</b>	<b>max points</b>	<b>0 points</b>
1.	General evaluation of the thesis: justification of the relevance of the topic, formulation of research questions, logical structure, originality (max. 6 points)	If the relevance of the topic is well defined, the author formulates specific research questions, the thesis has a logical structure, the layout according to expectations, the ratio of chapters, etc., overall the thesis is an original work based on significant independent research	If the justification for the relevance of the topic is of low quality, research questions are missing, the structure of the thesis is objectionable, confusing, untraceable, illogical, the layout does not meet expectations, the ratio of chapters, etc., overall the thesis is not an original work, basically a republication
2.	Knowledge and processing of literature sources (max. 6 points)	If the literature used and the range of sources are widely drawn, well-selected, topical, adequate to the topic, the proportion of international literature references corresponds to the content, the source material is thoroughly processed, professionally analyzed, independently evaluated	if few, poorly or inappropriately chosen sources are used in the thesis, the literature used is too general, outdated, omitted, inaccurate references, poor or unacceptable processing, there is no independent evaluation
3.	Methodology: the level of information collection and processing, the correctness of the choice of independently performed methods, the quality of their application (max. 6 points)	If the data collection and processing, independent, comprehensive methodology chosen for the analysis, is completely adequate for the examination of the problem, the implementation of the analysis methods is of high quality, thorough, precise (calculations are also numerically correct), the research solves the examined problems with ingenious, previously unknown, new methods	if the information background of the research or its processing is incomplete, the chosen methodology is objectionable, not in line with the research questions, the application of the analysis methods is vague, incorrect, of low quality, the execution of the study is unacceptable
4.	Quality of justification and evaluation of own results, conclusions, their novelty (max. 6 points)	If the data collection and processing, independent, comprehensive methodology chosen for the analysis is completely adequate for the examination of the problem, the implementation of the analysis methods is of high quality, thorough, precise (calculations are also numerically correct), the research solves the examined problems with ingenious, previously unknown, new methods.	if the information background of the research or its processing is incomplete, the chosen methodology is objectionable, not in line with the research questions, the application of the analysis methods is vague, incorrect, of low quality, the execution of the study is unacceptable

\* Correct handling of references is a basic requirement, i.e. the literature and source material used must always be identifiable and retrievable. Otherwise, no points shall be awarded for criteria 2 and 3. In case of plagiarism, no points can be awarded for the thesis at all.

	<b>Award criterion</b>	<b>max points</b>	<b>0 points</b>
<b>5.</b>	Formal requirements: style of thesis, high quality of appearance (max. 3 points)	if the thesis is linguistically correct, its style is smooth and understandable, its execution is clear, tastefully and carefully structured, its appearance is demanding, the handling of sources and inline references are professional and accurate throughout, the figures and tables are formally complete	if the text of the thesis is difficult to understand, its style and appearance are unacceptable, it is a formally-rough, sloppy work, its execution, the handling of its source references is unclear, it does not meet the requirements of professional publications, the figures and tables are formally incomplete (e.g. lack of source, unit of measurement, etc.)
<b>6.</b>	The summary (abstract): formulation of research questions, methodology and results (max. 3 points)	If it clearly contains the questions and objectives of the research, it provides a good overview of the implemented research and analytical methodology and summarises the results in a professionally correct manner	If the question is incomplete, the presentation of the research methodology is inadequate, the summary is highly questionable from a professional point of view

## ***2b. Evaluation criteria of the presentation presented at the conference***

	<b>Award criterion</b>	<b>5 points</b>	<b>0 points</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>General content level of the presentation (max. 20 points)</b>		
	Overall, was the structure and thought process of the presentation logical?	The structure of the presentation is logical (relevance of the topic, research questions, analytical methodology, formulation of results), its articulation is proportional	confusing logic, disproportionate articulation
	Were the most important results and new ideas of the thesis reflected in the presentation?	The presentation was well focused, highlighting the most important results of the thesis	The presentation was not well focused, it failed to display the most essential elements of the thesis
	At what scientific level did you manage to present your own research results?	The presentation is professionally correct	the presentation is not professionally correct
	Did the presentation meet the specified time frame?	The presentation kept the time frame perfectly	It did not fit into the specified time frame or the presentation was too short
<b>2.</b>	<b>Professionalism of answers to questions (max. 10 points)</b>		
	To what extent did you succeed in responding meaningfully to the comments and questions of the opponents and the jury on the spot?	The answers to these questions are professionally correct	professionally incorrect answers
	What professional security do you have on the subject?	Proficiency in the subject or field is excellent	incomplete knowledge in the topic or field
<b>3.</b>	<b>Style of presentation (max. 10 points)</b>		
	Did the presentation meet the requirements of the lecturer (traceability, precision, clear reasoning, cohesiveness?)	easy-to-understand, easy-to-follow presentation, excellent presentation, appropriate professional vocabulary, correct use of technical terms	difficult to understand, unclear presentation, poor presentation, incomplete vocabulary, incorrect use
	What is the quality of presentation materials?	Attention-grabbing, articulated slides, figures and tables are used professionally	poor/overdecorated, rough/overcrowded slides, figures and tables are not professional