Calls for applications
for Demonstrator Scholarship
for university students for the 2nd semester of
the 2020/2021 academic year

Pursuant to 28 § and Annex 1 of the Student Compensation and Allowance Policy, Corvinus University of Budapest calls for Applications in Demonstrator Scholarship for university students for the 2nd semester of the 2020/2021 academic year

(1) The Demonstrator Scholarship is a financial allowance supporting the performance of demonstratorial duties as an activity beyond the curricula requirements.

(2) The scholarship is available for full-time (full-time) students in bachelor’s programme, single cycle or subdivided master’s programmes with active student status.

(3) No application may be submitted for activities performed for remuneration or otherwise considered.

(4) Students may apply for the scholarship with his/her demonstration performed in the semester 2020/2021/2. One student may submit only one application.

(5) The further criteria of the scholarship set on the basis of Annex 1 of the HTJSZ is included in the annex to this Call for Applications.

(6) The scholarship is a one-time lump sum allowance.

(7) The review and prioritisation of applications shall be carried out by the Demonstrator Evaluation Committee (hereinafter referred to as the “Committee”).

(8) The budget of the scholarship for the semester 2020/21/2 is HUF 14 million.

(9) The minimum amount that students can earn is HUF 20,000 and the maximum amount is HUF 80,000.

(10) The call for applications shall be published on the University's website and in the Corvinus news, and an information message shall be sent in Neptun.

(11) The application and its annexes shall be submitted through Neptun (hereinafter referred to as Neptun), on the form called “Demonstrator Scholarship 2020/2021/2” available in the „Administration/Applications/Available applications” section. Application period from: 10:00 am 2 June 2021.

(12) The deadline for the submission is: 12:00 pm (noon) 9 June 2021. Failure to submit the application by the deadline results in the applicant’s loss of right, late or incomplete applications shall not be considered by the Committee.

(13) No applications may be submitted beyond the deadline. Incomplete applications are not be considered by the Committee and are rejected for formal reasons.

(14) The review and prioritisation of applications shall be carried out by the Committee, based on the evaluation of the instructors of the Institutes on demonstrators’ performed work. The Committee shall, within its powers of giving opinion, verify the conformity of the form and content with the call for the application and evaluate the activity carried out by the applicant on the merit.

(15) The Committee shall propose the eligibility or the non-eligibility of the applicant for the scholarship, in the first case specifying the amount of the proposed scholarship. In case of rejection, reasoning for rejection must be provided.
(16) Based on the Committee’s detailed proposal, the Chairman of the Committee shall submit in writing to the Vice-rector of Education, a proposal for the acceptance/rejection of applications and for those eligible for the scholarship.
(17) The Vice-rector of Education shall make the final decision on the granting of the scholarship.
(18) Appeals must be submitted through Neptun to the Student Appeals Committee within 15 days of the date of communicating the decision.

Budapest, 27. May 2021

Lajos György Szabó, PhD
Vice-rector for Education sgd.
Annex

Assessment criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demonstrator scholarship</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>evaluation of demonstrator activity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluation of demonstrator activity (30 points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational activity and its support (giving courses, preparation, support the work of an institute instructor, consultations, etc.)</th>
<th>Time spent (hours/semester) 1 hour = 60 min</th>
<th>Evaluation in text (if the time spent is greater than zero, 3-4 sentences are required to explain)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation in Department research projects</td>
<td>Time spent (hours/semester) 1 hour = 60 min</td>
<td>Evaluation in text (if the time spent is greater than zero, 3-4 sentences are required to explain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in the organization and conduct of examinations, preparation of exam tasks, mid-year or year-end corrections</td>
<td>Time spent (hours/semester) 1 hour = 60 min</td>
<td>Evaluation in text (if the time spent is greater than zero, 3-4 sentences are required to explain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in the organization of study competitions and other professional events organized by the Department</td>
<td>Time spent (hours/semester) 1 hour = 60 min</td>
<td>Evaluation in text (if the time spent is greater than zero, 3-4 sentences are required to explain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in the compilation of educational materials</td>
<td>Time spent (hours/semester) 1 hour = 60 min</td>
<td>Evaluation in text (if the time spent is greater than zero, 3-4 sentences are required to explain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other activities not listed above</td>
<td>Time spent (hours/semester) 1 hour = 60 min</td>
<td>Evaluation in text (if the time spent is greater than zero, 3-4 sentences are required to explain)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total time spent in the semester

Overall assessment of the quality of the work performed by the demonstrator (where 1 is insufficient and 5 is for excellent quality)